

**OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS  
MINNEAPOLIS CITY COUNCIL**

**REGULAR MEETING OF  
APRIL 23, 2026**

(Published May 2, 2026, in *Finance and Commerce*)

**CALL TO ORDER**

Council President Payne called the meeting to order at 9:35 a.m. in the Council Chamber, a quorum being present.

Present – Council Members Elliott Payne, Robin Wonsley, Michael Rainville, LaTrisha Vetaw, Pearl Warren, Jamal Osman, Elizabeth Shaffer, Soren Stevenson, Jason Chavez, Aisha Chughtai, Jamison Whiting, Aurin Chowdhury, Linea Palmisano.

Osman moved to adopt the agenda.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

Osman moved to accept the minutes of the adjourned meeting of April 8, 2026, and the regular meeting of April 9, 2026.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Accepted.

Osman moved to refer the petitions, communications, and reports to the proper Committees.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

The following official acts were signed by Mayor Jacob Frey on April 29, 2026. Minnesota Statutes, Section 331A.01, Subd 10, allows for summary publication of ordinances and resolutions in the official newspaper of the city. A complete copy of each summarized ordinance and resolution is available for public inspection in the Office of City Clerk.

**REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES**

**The BUSINESS, HOUSING & ZONING COMMITTEE submitted the following report:**

On behalf of the Business, Housing & Zoning Committee, Osman offered Resolution 2026R-128 authorizing preliminary and final approval of the issuance of Tax-Exempt Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$13,400,000 for the Bottineau Commons & Lofts project.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-128**

**By Osman**

**Providing preliminary and final approval to and authorizing the issuance of multifamily housing revenue obligations under Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 462C, as amended, for the purpose of financing a housing program consisting of the acquisition, rehabilitation, and equipping of an existing multifamily rental housing development for the benefit of Bottineau Commons & Lofts Limited Partnership, or its affiliates or assigns; adopting a housing program therefor; approving the forms of and authorizing the execution and delivery of the obligations and related documents; providing for the security, rights, and remedies with respect to the obligations; and granting approval for certain other actions with respect thereto.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis (the “City”) is authorized, under the provisions of Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 462C, as amended (the “Act”), to develop and administer programs to finance one or more multifamily housing developments within its boundaries; and

Whereas, Section 462C.07 of the Act authorizes the City to issue and sell revenue bonds or obligations to finance programs for multifamily housing developments located within the City, and as a condition to the issuance of such revenue bonds, adopt a housing program providing the information required by Section 462C.03, subdivision 1a, of the Act; and

Whereas, representatives of Bottineau Commons & Lofts Limited Partnership, a Minnesota limited partnership, or another entity to be formed by or affiliated with Sherman Associates Inc. (collectively, the “Borrower”), have requested that the City adopt a program for a multifamily housing development (the “Program”) to provide for the issuance of one or more series of tax-exempt or taxable multifamily housing revenue obligations (the “Obligations”) in a principal amount up to \$13,400,000 for the purpose of loaning the proceeds thereof to the Borrower to finance in part the acquisition, rehabilitation, and equipping of an existing 156-unit multifamily rental housing development and facilities functionally related and subordinate thereto, for occupancy by persons and families of low and moderate income, including (i) a 119-unit apartment building located at 1808 University Avenue NE in the City (the “Bottineau Commons Project”); and (ii) a 33-unit residential building located at 1929 2nd St NE in the City, together with 4 townhomes located at 1901, 1903, 1905, and 1907 2nd St NE in the City (the “Bottineau Lofts Project,” and together with the Bottineau Commons Project, the “Projects”); and

Whereas, Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the “Code”) and regulations promulgated thereunder (the “Treasury Regulations”) require that prior to the issuance of the Obligations, this Council approve the Obligations after conducting a public hearing thereon preceded by publication of a notice of public hearing (in the form and method required by Section 147(f) of the Code and applicable Treasury Regulations) in a newspaper of general circulation at least seven (7) days prior to the public hearing date; and

Whereas, Section 462C.04 of the Act requires that, as a condition to the issuance of the Obligations, a public hearing must be held on the Program after one publication of notice in a newspaper circulating generally in the City at least ten (10) days before the hearing; and

Whereas, in accordance with the requirements of the Act and the Code, a notice of public hearing in the form required by the Act and Section 147(f) of the Code was published in *Finance & Commerce*, the official newspaper of the City, and in the *Star Tribune*, a newspaper of general circulation in the City, at least ten (10) days before the public hearing; and

Whereas, the notice of public hearing published as described in the preceding paragraph described the Bottineau Lofts Project as a 33-unit residential building and a 4-unit townhome building located at 1929 2nd St NE in the City, and subsequent to the publication of such notice, the location of the Bottineau Lofts Project was clarified to include the individual addresses of the 4 townhomes; notwithstanding such deviation, the location of the Bottineau Lofts Project described in the notice of public hearing, as published in *Finance & Commerce* and the *Star Tribune*, satisfies the requirement under Section 1.147(f)-1(f)(2)(iv) of the Treasury Regulations that the notice include a general description of the prospective location of the project, reasonably designed to inform readers of the location; and

Whereas, in accordance with the requirements of Section 462C.04 of the Act, the Program was submitted to Metropolitan Council for its review and comment on or before the date of publication of the notice of public hearing; and

Whereas, on April 14, 2026, the Business, Housing & Zoning Committee of the Minneapolis City Council, on behalf of the City, held a public hearing on the Program and the proposed issuance of the Obligations in accordance with the requirements of the Act and the Code; and

Whereas, the maximum principal amounts of Obligations proposed to be issued to finance the Bottineau Commons Project is estimated to be up to \$10,010,000 and to finance the Bottineau Lofts Project is estimated to be up to \$3,390,000, provided that the maximum aggregate principal amount of the Obligations proposed to be issued to finance the Projects shall not exceed \$13,400,000; and

Whereas, the Obligations are proposed to be issued as “exempt facility bonds” the interest on which is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Sections 103 and 141(e)(1)(A) of the Code; and

Whereas, Cedar Rapids Bank and Trust Company, an Iowa banking corporation (the “Lender”), has agreed to purchase the Obligations from the City; and

Whereas, the proceeds of the Obligations will be loaned to the Borrower pursuant to a Loan Agreement (the “Loan Agreement”) between the City and the Borrower, and the City will assign its interests in the Loan Agreement (subject to certain reserved rights of the City) to the Lender pursuant to an Assignment of Loan Agreement (the “Assignment of Loan Agreement”) between the City and the Lender; and

Whereas, to ensure compliance with certain rental and occupancy restrictions imposed by the Act and Section 142(d) of the Code, and to ensure compliance with certain restrictions imposed by the City, the City, the Borrower, and the Lender will enter into a Regulatory Agreement with respect to the Bottineau Commons Project (the “Bottineau Commons Regulatory Agreement”) and a separate and distinct Regulatory Agreement with respect to the Bottineau Lofts Project (the “Bottineau Lofts Regulatory Agreement”); and

Whereas, the Obligations will be issued pursuant to this resolution, and the Obligations and the interest thereon (i) shall be payable solely from the revenues pledged therefor under the Loan Agreement or other security documents described therein; (ii) shall not constitute a debt of the City within the meaning of any constitutional or statutory limitation; (iii) shall not constitute nor give rise to a pecuniary liability of the City or a charge against its general credit or taxing powers; (iv) shall not constitute or give rise to a charge, lien, or encumbrance, legal or equitable, upon any property of the City other than the City's interest in the Loan Agreement; and (v) shall not constitute a general or moral obligation of the City;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the issuance of the Obligations is authorized by the Act and is consistent with the purposes of the Act and that the issuance of the Obligations, and the other actions of the City under the Loan Agreement and this resolution constitute a public purpose and are in the interests of the City. The Projects constitute "qualified residential rental projects" within the meaning of Section 142(d) of the Code and "multifamily housing developments" authorized by the Act, and further the purposes of the Act. In authorizing the issuance of the Obligations for the financing of the Projects and the related costs, the City's purpose is and the effect thereof will be to promote the public welfare of the City and its residents by providing multifamily housing developments for low or moderate income residents of the City and otherwise furthering the purposes and policies of the Act.

Be It Further Resolved that the preparation of the Program is hereby ratified, confirmed, and approved. The Program is hereby adopted, ratified, and approved in all respects without amendment. The City's staff, the Finance Officer of the City (the "Finance Officer"), and the City's advisors and legal counsel are hereby authorized to do all other things and take all other actions as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the Program in accordance with the Act and any other applicable laws and regulations.

Be It Further Resolved that for the purpose of financing all or a portion of the costs of the acquisition, rehabilitation, and equipping of the Projects and related costs, the issuance of the Obligations in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Act, the Program, and this resolution, in a principal amount up to \$13,400,000 (all of which will be issued with the City's annual entitlement and/or carryforward bonding authority allocation), is hereby approved; provided that the City's current year or carryforward bonding authority allocated to any portion of the tax-exempt series of Obligations shall not exceed the amount of permanent financing that the Projects can support and that is expected to be issued or otherwise obtained for the Projects, up to fifty-three percent (53%) of the Borrower's basis in the Projects.

Be It Further Resolved that the Obligations may be issued in any number of series, as determined in the discretion of the Finance Officer.

Be It Further Resolved that the Obligations, substantially in the form now on file with the City, are hereby approved with the amendments referenced herein.

Be It Further Resolved that the payments to be made by the Borrower under the Loan Agreement are to be fixed so as to produce revenue sufficient to pay the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Obligations when due. When executed, the right, title and interest of the City in, to and under, among other things, the Loan Agreement (except as therein provided) will be assigned to the Lender pursuant to the Assignment. The Loan Agreement and the Assignment are hereby approved, and the Finance Officer is hereby authorized to execute and deliver the Loan Agreement and the Assignment on behalf of the City. All of the provisions of the Loan Agreement and the Assignment, when executed and delivered as authorized herein, shall be deemed to be a part of this resolution as fully and to the same extent as if

incorporated verbatim herein and shall be in full force and effect from the date of execution and delivery thereof. The Loan Agreement and the Assignment shall be substantially in the forms now on file with the City with such necessary and appropriate variations, omissions, and insertions as do not materially change the substance thereof, as the Finance Officer, in her discretion, shall determine, and the execution and delivery thereof by the Finance Officer shall be conclusive evidence of such determination. The proceeds of the loan to be made under the terms of the Loan Agreement (the “Loan”) are hereby authorized to be applied to the payment of a portion of the costs of the acquisition, rehabilitation, and equipping of the Projects and related costs, the financing of capitalized interest during rehabilitation of the Projects, if necessary, the funding of any required reserves, and the payment of costs of issuance of the Obligations.

Be It Further Resolved that to ensure continuing compliance with certain rental and occupancy restrictions imposed by the Act, Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 474A, as amended (the “Allocation Act”), and Section 142(d) of the Code, and to ensure continuing compliance with certain restrictions imposed by the City, the Finance Officer is hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver the Bottineau Commons Regulatory Agreement and the Bottineau Lofts Regulatory Agreement (together, the “Regulatory Agreements”) in substantially in the forms now on file with the City which are hereby approved, with such omissions and insertions as do not materially change the substance thereof, as the Finance Officer, in her discretion, shall determine, and the execution thereof by the Finance Officer shall be conclusive evidence of such determination. All of the provisions of the Regulatory Agreements, when executed and delivered as authorized herein, shall be deemed to be a part of this resolution as fully and to the same extent as if incorporated verbatim herein and shall be in full force and effect from the date of execution and delivery thereof.

Be It Further Resolved that the City acknowledges, finds, determines, and declares that the issuance of the Obligations is authorized by the Act and is consistent with the purposes of the Act and that the issuance of the Obligations, and the other actions of the City under this resolution and the Loan Agreement constitute a public purpose and are in the interests of the City. In authorizing the issuance of the Obligations for the financing of the Projects and related costs, the City’s purpose is, and the effect thereof will be, to promote the public welfare of the City and its residents by providing multifamily housing developments for low- and moderate-income residents of the City and otherwise furthering the purposes and policies of the Act.

Be It Further Resolved that the City hereby authorizes the Obligations to be issued as “taxable bonds” or as “tax-exempt bonds” the interest on which is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes and from taxable net income of certain taxpayers for State of Minnesota income tax purposes.

Be It Further Resolved that in accordance with the provisions of the Allocation Act and Section 146 of the Code, the City shall (i) allocate to the Obligations a portion of its “entitlement issuer allocation” granted under the provisions of the Allocation Act and Section 146 of the Code; or (ii) allocate to the Obligations a portion of its “carryforward allocation”; or (iii) allocate to the Obligations a portion of its entitlement issuer allocation and a portion of its carryforward allocation. The maximum aggregate principal amount of the Obligations to be issued on a tax-exempt basis from entitlement issuer allocation and/or carryforward allocation shall not exceed the amount of permanent financing that the Projects can support and that is expected to be issued or otherwise obtained for the Projects, up to fifty-three percent (53%) of the Borrower’s basis in the Projects.

Be It Further Resolved that all of the provisions of the Obligations, when executed as authorized herein, shall be deemed to be a part of this resolution as fully and to the same extent as if incorporated verbatim herein and shall be in full force and effect from the date of execution and delivery thereof. The Obligations shall bear interest at the rates, shall be designated, shall be numbered, shall be dated, shall mature, shall

be issued in the aggregate principal amount, shall be subject to redemption prior to maturity, shall be in such form, and shall have such other terms, details, and provisions as are prescribed in the Obligations, in the form now on file with the City, which form is hereby approved, with such necessary and appropriate variations, omissions, and insertions (including changes to the aggregate principal amount of the Obligations, the stated maturities of the Obligations, the interest rates on the Obligations, and the terms of redemption of the Obligations) as the Finance Officer, in her discretion, shall determine. The execution of the Obligations with the manual or facsimile signature of the Finance Officer and the delivery of the Obligations by the City shall be conclusive evidence of such determination.

Be It Further Resolved that the Obligations shall not constitute general or moral obligations of the City but shall be special, limited obligations of the City payable solely from the revenues provided by the Borrower under the terms of the Loan Agreement and from the revenues and security pledged, assigned, and granted under the terms of this resolution, the Obligations, the Loan Agreement, and any other security documents provided by the Borrower or any other party to secure the timely payment of the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Obligations. As provided in the Loan Agreement, the Obligations shall not be payable from or charged upon any funds other than the revenue pledged to their payment, nor shall the City be subject to any liability thereon, except as otherwise provided in this paragraph. No holder of the Obligations shall ever have the right to compel any exercise by the City of any taxing powers of the City to pay the Obligations or the interest or premium thereon, or to enforce payment thereof against any property of the City except the interests of the City in the Loan Agreement and the revenues and assets thereunder, which will be assigned to the Lender under the terms of the Assignment.

Be It Further Resolved that the Obligations, when executed and delivered, shall contain a recital that they are issued in accordance with the Act, and such recital shall be conclusive evidence of the validity of the Obligations and the regularity of the issuance thereof, and that all acts, conditions, and things required by the laws of the State of Minnesota relating to the adoption of this resolution, to the issuance of the Obligations, and to the execution of the aforementioned documents to happen, exist, and be performed precedent to the execution of the aforementioned documents have happened, exist, and have been performed as so required by law. The Obligations shall also recite that the Obligations, including interest and premium, if any, thereon, are payable solely from the revenues and assets pledged to the payment thereof, and the Obligations shall not constitute a debt of the City within the meaning of any constitutional or statutory limitations.

Be It Further Resolved that the City acknowledges and hereby approves any one or more of the following to be provided as security for the payment of the obligations of the Borrower under the Loan Agreement, and the payment of the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Obligations: (i) one or more assignments of capital contributions; (ii) one or more collateral assignments of the contracts between the Borrower and the architect and contractor with respect to the Projects; (iii) one or more indemnity agreements; (iv) one or more disbursing agreements between the Borrower, the Lender, and a disbursing agent to be selected by the Borrower to provide for the disbursement of the proceeds of the Obligations and the Loan; (v) one or more guarantees and documents that are intended to ensure timely payment of the Loan and the Obligations; and (vi) one or more subordination agreements.

Be It Further Resolved that the Finance Officer is hereby designated as the representative of the City with respect to the issuance of the Obligations and the transactions related thereto. The Finance Officer is authorized, upon request, to furnish certified copies of all proceedings and records of the City relating to the Obligations, and such other affidavits and certificates as may be required to show the facts relating to the Obligations as such facts appear from the books and records in the custody and control of the City; and all such certified copies, certificates, and affidavits, including any heretofore furnished, shall constitute representations of the City as to the truth of all statements contained therein. The Finance

Officer is hereby further authorized to execute and deliver, on behalf of the City, all other certificates, instruments, and other written documents that may be requested by Kutak Rock LLP, as bond counsel to the City (“Bond Counsel”), the Lender, the Borrower, or other persons or entities in conjunction with the issuance of the Obligations and the expenditure of the proceeds of the Obligations. Without imposing any limitations on the scope of the preceding sentence, the Finance Officer is specifically authorized to execute and deliver such other documents and certificates as are necessary or appropriate in connection with the issuance, sale, and delivery of the Obligations, including one or more general certificates of the City, an Information Return for Tax-Exempt Private Activity Bond Issues, Form 8038, an endorsement to any tax certificates as to arbitrage, rebate, and other federal tax matters executed and delivered in connection with the issuance of the Obligations, appropriate amendments to the Program, and all other documents and certificates as the Finance Officer shall deem to be necessary or appropriate in connection with the issuance, sale, and delivery of the Obligations. The Finance Officer is hereby further authorized and directed to execute and deliver all other instruments and documents necessary to accomplish the purposes for which the Obligations are to be issued. The preparation and filing of Uniform Commercial Code financing statements with respect to the assignment of the interests of the City in the Loan Agreement (excluding any unassigned rights as provided in the Loan Agreement), are hereby authorized. The City hereby authorizes Bond Counsel to prepare, execute, and deliver its approving legal opinions with respect to the Obligations.

Be It Further Resolved that on any date subsequent to the date of issuance of the Obligations, the Finance Officer is hereby authorized to execute and deliver any amendments or supplements to any of the documents referred to in this resolution on behalf of the City if, after review by and consultation with the City Attorney and Bond Counsel, the Finance Officer determines that the execution and delivery of such amendment or supplement is not materially inconsistent with this resolution. The Finance Officer may impose any terms or conditions on the execution and delivery by the Finance Officer of any such amendment or supplement as the Finance Officer deems appropriate.

Be It Further Resolved that no covenant, stipulation, obligation, or agreement herein contained or contained in the aforementioned documents shall be deemed to be a covenant, stipulation, obligation, or agreement of any member of the Council of the City, or any officer, agent, or employee of the City in that person’s individual capacity, and neither the Council of the City nor any officer, agent, or employee executing the Obligations or any such documents shall be personally liable on the Obligations or such documents or be subject to any personal liability or accountability by reason of the issuance of the Obligations or the execution and delivery of such documents. No provision, covenant, or agreement contained in the aforementioned documents, the Obligations, or in any other document relating to the Obligations, and no obligation therein or herein imposed upon the City or the breach thereof, shall constitute or give rise to a general or moral obligation of the City or any pecuniary liability of the City or any charge upon its general credit or taxing powers. In making the agreements, provisions, covenants, and representations set forth in such documents, the City has not obligated itself to pay or remit any funds or revenues, other than funds and revenues derived from the Loan Agreement, which are to be applied to the payment of the Obligations, as provided therein.

Be It Further Resolved that except as herein otherwise expressly provided, nothing in this resolution or in the aforementioned documents expressed or implied, is intended or shall be construed to confer upon any person or firm or corporation, other than the City, and any holders of the Obligations issued under the provisions of this resolution, any right, remedy or claim, legal or equitable, under and by reason of this resolution or any provisions hereof, this resolution, the aforementioned documents, and all of their provisions being intended to be and being for the sole and exclusive benefit of the City, the Borrower, the Underwriter, and any beneficial owners from time to time of the Obligations issued under the provisions of this resolution.

Be It Further Resolved that in case any one or more of the provisions of this resolution, other than the provisions limiting the liability of the City, or of the aforementioned documents, or of the Obligations issued hereunder shall for any reason be held to be illegal or invalid, such illegality or invalidity shall not affect any other provision of this resolution, or of the aforementioned documents, or of the Obligations, but this resolution, the aforementioned documents, and the Obligations shall be construed and endorsed as if such illegal or invalid provisions had not been contained therein.

Be It Further Resolved that the City has established a governmental program of acquiring purpose investments for qualified residential rental projects. The governmental program is one in which the following requirements of Section 1.148-1(b) of the Treasury Regulations relating to tax-exempt obligations shall be met with respect to the tax-exempt obligations: (a) the program involves the origination or acquisition of purpose investments; (b) at least ninety-five percent (95%) of the cost of the purpose investments acquired under the program represents one or more loans to a substantial number of persons representing the general public, states or political subdivisions, 501(c)(3) organizations, persons who provide housing and related facilities, or any combination of the foregoing; (c) at least ninety-five percent (95%) of the receipts from the purpose investments are used to pay principal, interest, or redemption prices on issues that financed the program, to pay or reimburse administrative costs of those issues or of the program, to pay or reimburse anticipated future losses directly related to the program, to finance additional purpose investments for the same general purposes of the program, or to redeem and retire governmental obligations at the next earliest possible date of redemption; (d) the program documents prohibit any obligor on a purpose investment financed by the program or any related party to that obligor from purchasing the Obligations of an issue that finances the program in an amount related to the amount of the purpose investment acquired from that obligor; and (e) the City shall not waive the right to treat the investment as a program investment.

Be It Further Resolved that the Obligations are hereby designated “Program Bonds” and are determined to be within the “Housing Program” and the “Program,” all as defined in Resolution 88R-021 of the City adopted January 29, 1988, as amended and supplemented by Resolution 97R-402 of the City adopted December 12, 1997.

Be It Further Resolved that the United States Department of the Treasury has promulgated final regulations governing the use of the proceeds of tax-exempt obligations, all or a portion of which are to be used to reimburse the City or a borrower from the City for project expenditures paid prior to the date of issuance of such obligations. Those regulations, including Treasury Regulations, Section 1.150-2 (the “Regulations”), require that the City adopt a statement of official intent to reimburse an original expenditure not later than sixty (60) days after payment of the original expenditure. The Regulations also generally require that the Obligations be issued and the reimbursement allocation made from the proceeds of the Obligations occur within eighteen (18) months after the later of: (i) the date the expenditure is paid; or (ii) the date the Projects are placed in service or abandoned, but in no event more than three (3) years after the date the expenditure is paid. The Regulations generally permit reimbursement of capital expenditures and costs of issuance of the Obligations.

Be It Further Resolved that the City reasonably expects to reimburse the Borrower for the expenditures made for costs of the Projects from the proceeds of the Obligations in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$13,400,000 after the date of payment of all or a portion of the costs of the Projects. All reimbursed expenditures shall be capital expenditures, a cost of issuance of the Obligations, or other expenditures eligible for reimbursement under Section 1.150-2(d)(3) of the Regulations and also qualifying expenditures under the Act.

Be It Further Resolved that based on representations by the Borrower, no expenditures for the Projects have been made by the Borrower more than sixty (60) days before the date of adoption of this resolution other than: (i) expenditures to be paid or reimbursed from sources other than the Obligations; (ii) expenditures permitted to be reimbursed under prior regulations pursuant to the transitional provision contained in Section 1.150-2(j)(2)(i)(B) of the Regulations; (iii) expenditures constituting preliminary expenditures within the meaning of Section 1.150-2(f)(2) of the Regulations; or (iv) expenditures in a “de minimis” amount (as defined in Section 1.150-2(f)(1) of the Regulations).

Be It Further Resolved that based on representations by the Borrower, as of the date hereof, there are no funds of the Borrower reserved, allocated on a long-term basis or otherwise set aside (or reasonably expected to be reserved, allocated on a long-term basis or otherwise set aside) to provide permanent financing for the expenditures related to the Projects to be financed from proceeds of the Obligations, other than pursuant to the issuance of the Obligations. This resolution, therefore, is determined to be consistent with the budgetary and financial circumstances of the Borrower as they exist or are reasonably foreseeable on the date hereof.

Be It Further Resolved that in anticipation of the issuance of the Obligations to finance all or a portion of the Projects, and in order that completion of the Projects will not be unduly delayed when approved, the Borrower is hereby authorized to make such expenditures and advances toward payment of that portion of the costs of the Projects to be financed from the proceeds of the Obligations, as the Borrower considers necessary, including the use of interim, short-term financing, subject to reimbursement from the proceeds of the Obligations if and when delivered but otherwise without liability on the part of the City.

Be It Further Resolved that the officers of the City, the City Attorney, Bond Counsel, other attorneys, and other agents or employees of the City are hereby authorized to do all acts and things required of them by or in connection with this resolution, the aforementioned documents, or the Obligations, for the full, punctual, and complete performance of all the terms, covenants, and agreements contained in the Obligations, the aforementioned documents, and this resolution.

Be It Further Resolved that the staff of the City is hereby authorized, in cooperation with Bond Counsel, to take all steps necessary and desirable to proceed to develop the Program and to issue the Obligations.

Be It Further Resolved that the Borrower has agreed to pay the administrative fees of the City in accordance with the applicable policies and procedures of the City. It is hereby determined that any and all costs incurred by the City in connection with the financing of the Projects will be paid by the Borrower.

Be It Further Resolved that it is understood and agreed by the Borrower that the Borrower shall indemnify the City against all liabilities, losses, damages, costs, and expenses (including attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the City) arising with respect to the Projects and the Obligations, as provided for and agreed to by and between the Borrower and the City in the Loan Agreement.

Be It Further Resolved that the financing transaction represented by the Obligations is subject to all existing policies and procedures of the City and is also subject to any conduit bond policies and procedures subsequently adopted by the City to the extent the provisions thereof are intended to be applied retroactively to conduit revenue obligations issued prior to the adoption of such conduit bond policies and procedures.

Be It Further Resolved that this resolution shall take effect and be in force from and after its approval and publication. In accordance with the terms of Article IV, Section 4.4(d), of the Charter of the City, only the

title of this resolution and a summary of this resolution conforming to Minnesota Statutes, Section 331A.01, subdivision 10, shall be published in the official newspaper of the City.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Business, Housing & Zoning Committee, Osman offered Resolution 2026R-129 authorizing the sale of the property at 3242 Girard Ave N (Disposition Parcel No. MH-340) for \$1, a write-down of \$89,999 from the fair reuse value of \$90,000, to PRG Inc. or an affiliated entity, subject to conditions.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-129**

**By Osman**

**Authorizing sale of land Disposition Parcel RE2024-304 under the Minneapolis Homes Program at 3242 Girard Ave N.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis, hereinafter known as the City, has received an offer to purchase and develop Disposition Parcel RE2024-304 from PRG, Inc. or an affiliated entity, hereinafter known as the Redeveloper, the Parcel RE2024-304, being the following described land situated in the City of Minneapolis, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota, to wit:

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

Disposition Parcel RE2024-304; 3242 Girard Ave N: Lot 24, Block 6, Silver Lake Addition to Minneapolis.

Whereas, the Redeveloper has offered to pay \$1 for Parcel RE2024-304 and the City is writing down the purchase price to \$1 to further affordable housing goals; the offer includes a development plan and commitment to improve by redeveloping the property. This offer is in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program; and

Whereas, the Redeveloper has submitted to the City a statement of financial responsibility and qualifications; and

Whereas, the City has had the re-use value reviewed by an appraisal expert, stating that the re-use value opinion is consistent with accepted methods of aiding the City in determining the re-use value of \$90,000 for Parcel RE2024-304; and

Whereas, pursuant to due notice thereof published in *Finance and Commerce* on March 20, 2026, a public hearing on the proposed sale was duly held on April 14, 2026, at the Minneapolis City Hall, 350 5th St S, Room 380, in the City of Minneapolis, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the re-use value, for uses in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program is hereby

determined to be \$90,000 for Parcel RE2024-304.

Be It Further Resolved that the acceptance of the offer and proposal is hereby determined to be in the best interests of the City and its people and that the transaction furthers the City's general plan of economic development in accordance with the City's approved disposition policy and it is further determined that the Redeveloper possesses the qualifications and financial resources necessary to acquire and develop the Parcel in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program.

Be It Further Resolved that the proposal be and the same is hereby accepted, subject to the execution of a contract for the sale of land and further subject to the following conditions: 1) Land sale closing must occur on or before 180 days from the date this Resolution is approved by the City; and 2) Payment of holding costs of \$1,000.00 per month if the land sale closing does not occur on or before the closing deadline. The City is in the process of clearing title and closing will take place after title is cleared.

Be It Further Resolved that the sale conditions described above may be waived or amended with the approval of the Department of Community Planning & Economic Development Director.

Be It Further Resolved that upon publication of this Resolution the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City be and the same is hereby authorized to execute and deliver the contract to the Redeveloper; provided, however, that this Resolution does not constitute such a contract and no such contract shall be created until executed by the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City.

Be It Further Resolved that the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a conveyance of the land to the Redeveloper in accordance with the provisions of the executed contract and upon payment to the City for the purchase price thereof; provided, however, that this Resolution does not constitute such a conveyance and no such conveyance shall be created until executed and delivered by the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Business, Housing & Zoning Committee, Osman offered Resolution 2026R-130 authorizing the sale of the property at 2714 Emerson Ave N (Disposition Parcel No. TF-1042) for \$1, a write-down of \$119,999 from the fair reuse value of \$120,000, to PRG Inc. or an affiliated entity, subject to conditions.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-130**

**By Osman**

**Authorizing sale of land Disposition Parcel RE2024-235 under the Minneapolis Homes Program at 2714 Emerson Ave N.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis, hereinafter known as the City, has received an offer to purchase and develop Disposition Parcel RE2024-235 from PRG, Inc. or an affiliated entity, hereinafter known as the

Redeveloper, the Parcel RE2024-235, being the following described land situated in the City of Minneapolis, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota, to wit:

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

Disposition Parcel RE2024-235; 2714 Emerson Ave N: Lot 11, Block 18, Fairmount Park Addition to Minneapolis, Hennepin County, Minnesota.

Whereas, the Redeveloper has offered to pay \$1 for Parcel RE2024-235 and the City is writing down the purchase price to \$1 to further affordable housing goals; the offer includes a development plan and commitment to improve by redeveloping the property. This offer is in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program; and

Whereas, the Redeveloper has submitted to the City a statement of financial responsibility and qualifications; and

Whereas, the City has had the re-use value reviewed by an appraisal expert, stating that the re-use value opinion is consistent with accepted methods of aiding the City in determining the re-use value of \$120,000 for Parcel RE2024-235; and

Whereas, pursuant to due notice thereof published in *Finance and Commerce* on March 20, 2026, a public hearing on the proposed sale was duly held on April 14, 2026, at the Minneapolis City Hall, 350 5th St S, Room 380, in the City of Minneapolis, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the re-use value, for uses in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program is hereby determined to be \$120,000 for Parcel RE2024-235.

Be It Further Resolved that the acceptance of the offer and proposal is hereby determined to be in the best interests of the City and its people and that the transaction furthers the City's general plan of economic development in accordance with the City's approved disposition policy and it is further determined that the Redeveloper possesses the qualifications and financial resources necessary to acquire and develop the Parcel in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program.

Be It Further Resolved that the proposal be and the same is hereby accepted, subject to the execution of a contract for the sale of land and further subject to the following conditions: 1) Land sale closing must occur on or before 180 days from the date this Resolution is approved by the City; and 2) Payment of holding costs of \$1,000.00 per month if the land sale closing does not occur on or before the closing deadline. The City is in the process of clearing title and closing will take place after title is cleared.

Be It Further Resolved that the sale conditions described above may be waived or amended with the approval of the Department of Community Planning & Economic Development Director.

Be It Further Resolved that upon publication of this Resolution the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City be and the same is hereby authorized to execute and deliver the contract to the Redeveloper; provided, however, that this Resolution does not constitute such a contract and no such contract shall be created until executed by the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City.

Be It Further Resolved that the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a conveyance of the land to the Redeveloper in accordance with the provisions of

the executed contract and upon payment to the City for the purchase price thereof; provided, however, that this Resolution does not constitute such a conveyance and no such conveyance shall be created until executed and delivered by the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Business, Housing & Zoning Committee, Osman offered Resolution 2026R-131 authorizing the sale of the property at 2319 3rd St N (Disposition Parcel No.TF-1014) for \$1, a write-down of \$64,999 from the fair reuse value of \$65,000, to eStore Masters or an affiliated entity, subject to conditions.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-131**

**By Osman**

**Authorizing sale of land Disposition Parcel RE2024-450 under the Minneapolis Homes Program at 2319 3rd St N.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis, hereinafter known as the City, has received an offer to purchase and develop Disposition Parcel RE2024-450 from eStoreMasters LLC or an affiliated entity, hereinafter known as the Redeveloper, the Parcel RE2024-450, being the following described land situated in the City of Minneapolis, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota, to wit:

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

Disposition Parcel RE2024-450; 2319 3rd St N: The South 6 Feet of Lot 2 and the North 1/2 of Lot 3, Block 7, Cobb's Addition to North Minneapolis, Hennepin County, Minnesota.

Whereas, the Redeveloper has offered to pay \$1 for Parcel RE2024-450 and the City is writing down the purchase price to \$1 to further affordable housing goals; the offer includes a development plan and commitment to improve by redeveloping the property. This offer is in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program; and

Whereas, the Redeveloper has submitted to the City a statement of financial responsibility and qualifications; and

Whereas, the City has had the re-use value reviewed by an appraisal expert, stating that the re-use value opinion is consistent with accepted methods of aiding the City in determining the re-use value of \$65,000 for Parcel RE2024-450; and

Whereas, pursuant to due notice thereof published in *Finance and Commerce* on March 20, 2026, a public hearing on the proposed sale was duly held on April 14, 2026, at the Minneapolis City Hall, 350 5th St S, Room 380, in the City of Minneapolis, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the re-use value, for uses in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program is hereby determined to be \$65,000 for Parcel RE2024-450.

Be It Further Resolved that the acceptance of the offer and proposal is hereby determined to be in the best interests of the City and its people and that the transaction furthers the City's general plan of economic development in accordance with the City's approved disposition policy and it is further determined that the Redeveloper possesses the qualifications and financial resources necessary to acquire and develop the Parcel in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program.

Be It Further Resolved that the proposal be and the same is hereby accepted, subject to the execution of a contract for the sale of land and further subject to the following conditions: 1) Land sale closing must occur on or before 180 days from the date this Resolution is approved by the City; and 2) Payment of holding costs of \$1,000.00 per month if the land sale closing does not occur on or before the closing deadline. The City is in the process of clearing title and closing will take place after title is cleared.

Be It Further Resolved that the sale conditions described above may be waived or amended with the approval of the Department of Community Planning & Economic Development Director.

Be It Further Resolved that upon publication of this Resolution the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City be and the same is hereby authorized to execute and deliver the contract to the Redeveloper; provided, however, that this Resolution does not constitute such a contract and no such contract shall be created until executed by the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City.

Be It Further Resolved that the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a conveyance of the land to the Redeveloper in accordance with the provisions of the executed contract and upon payment to the City for the purchase price thereof; provided, however, that this Resolution does not constitute such a conveyance and no such conveyance shall be created until executed and delivered by the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Business, Housing & Zoning Committee, Osman offered Resolution 2026R-132 authorizing the sale of the property at 3414 Emerson Ave N (Disposition Parcel No. TF-1043) for \$1, a write-down of \$99,999 from the fair reuse value of \$100,000, to Vision Development Group or an affiliated entity, subject to conditions.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-132**

**By Osman**

**Authorizing sale of land Disposition Parcel RE2024-455 under the Minneapolis Homes Program at 3414 Emerson Ave N.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis, hereinafter known as the City, has received an offer to purchase and develop Disposition Parcel RE2024-455 from Vision Development Group LLC or an affiliated entity, hereinafter known as the Redeveloper, the Parcel RE2024-455, being the following described land situated in the City of Minneapolis, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota, to wit:

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

Disposition Parcel RE2024-455; 3414 Emerson Ave N: Lot 19, Block 4, Livingston Park, Hennepin County, Minnesota.

Whereas, the Redeveloper has offered to pay \$1 for Parcel RE2024-455 and the City is writing down the purchase price to \$1 to further affordable housing goals; the offer includes a development plan and commitment to improve by redeveloping the property. This offer is in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program; and

Whereas, the Redeveloper has submitted to the City a statement of financial responsibility and qualifications; and

Whereas, the City has had the re-use value reviewed by an appraisal expert, stating that the re-use value opinion is consistent with accepted methods of aiding the City in determining the re-use value of \$100,000 for Parcel RE2024-455; and

Whereas, pursuant to due notice thereof published in *Finance and Commerce* on March 20, 2026, a public hearing on the proposed sale was duly held on April 14, 2026, at the Minneapolis City Hall, 350 5th St S, Room 380, in the City of Minneapolis, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the re-use value, for uses in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program is hereby determined to be \$100,000 for Parcel RE2024-455.

Be It Further Resolved that the acceptance of the offer and proposal is hereby determined to be in the best interests of the City and its people and that the transaction furthers the City's general plan of economic development in accordance with the City's approved disposition policy and it is further determined that the Redeveloper possesses the qualifications and financial resources necessary to acquire and develop the Parcel in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program.

Be It Further Resolved that the proposal be and the same is hereby accepted, subject to the execution of a contract for the sale of land and further subject to the following conditions: 1) Land sale closing must occur on or before 180 days from the date this Resolution is approved by the City; and 2) Payment of holding costs of \$1,000.00 per month if the land sale closing does not occur on or before the closing deadline. The City is in the process of clearing title and closing will take place after title is cleared.

Be It Further Resolved that the sale conditions described above may be waived or amended with the approval of the Department of Community Planning & Economic Development Director.

Be It Further Resolved that upon publication of this Resolution the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City be and the same is hereby authorized to execute and deliver the contract to the Redeveloper; provided, however, that this Resolution does not constitute such a contract and no such contract shall be created until executed by the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City.

Be It Further Resolved that the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a conveyance of the land to the Redeveloper in accordance with the provisions of the executed contract and upon payment to the City for the purchase price thereof; provided, however, that this Resolution does not constitute such a conveyance and no such conveyance shall be created until executed and delivered by the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Business, Housing & Zoning Committee, Osman offered Resolution 2026R-133 authorizing the sale of the property at 2122 Ilion Ave N (Disposition Parcel No. MH-267) for \$1, a write-down of \$29,999 from the fair reuse value of \$30,000, to Molina Investment Group LLC or an affiliated entity, subject to conditions.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-133**

**By Osman**

**Authorizing sale of land Disposition Parcel RE2024-137 under the Minneapolis Homes Program at 2122 Ilion Ave N.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis, hereinafter known as the City, has received an offer to purchase and develop Disposition Parcel RE2024-137 from Molina Investment Group LLC or an affiliated entity, hereinafter known as the Redeveloper, the Parcel RE2024-137, being the following described land situated in the City of Minneapolis, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota, to wit:

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

Disposition Parcel RE2024-137; 2122 Ilion Ave N: Lots 27 and 28, Block 17 Forest Heights, Hennepin County, Minnesota.

Whereas, the Redeveloper has offered to pay \$1 for Parcel RE2024-137 and the City is writing down the purchase price to \$1 to further affordable housing goals; the offer includes a development plan and commitment to improve by redeveloping the property. This offer is in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program; and

Whereas, the Redeveloper has submitted to the City a statement of financial responsibility and qualifications; and

Whereas, the City has had the re-use value reviewed by an appraisal expert, stating that the re-use value

opinion is consistent with accepted methods of aiding the City in determining the re-use value of \$30,000 for Parcel RE2024-137; and

Whereas, pursuant to due notice thereof published in *Finance and Commerce* on March 20, 2026, a public hearing on the proposed sale was duly held on April 14, 2026, at the Minneapolis City Hall, 350 5th St S, Room 380, in the City of Minneapolis, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the re-use value, for uses in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program is hereby determined to be \$30,000 for Parcel RE2024-137.

Be It Further Resolved that the acceptance of the offer and proposal is hereby determined to be in the best interests of the City and its people and that the transaction furthers the City's general plan of economic development in accordance with the City's approved disposition policy and it is further determined that the Redeveloper possesses the qualifications and financial resources necessary to acquire and develop the Parcel in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program.

Be It Further Resolved that the proposal be and the same is hereby accepted, subject to the execution of a contract for the sale of land and further subject to the following conditions: 1) Land sale closing must occur on or before 180 days from the date this Resolution is approved by the City; and 2) Payment of holding costs of \$1,000.00 per month if the land sale closing does not occur on or before the closing deadline. The City is in the process of clearing title and closing will take place after title is cleared.

Be It Further Resolved that the sale conditions described above may be waived or amended with the approval of the Department of Community Planning & Economic Development Director.

Be It Further Resolved that upon publication of this Resolution the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City be and the same is hereby authorized to execute and deliver the contract to the Redeveloper; provided, however, that this Resolution does not constitute such a contract and no such contract shall be created until executed by the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City.

Be It Further Resolved that the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a conveyance of the land to the Redeveloper in accordance with the provisions of the executed contract and upon payment to the City for the purchase price thereof; provided, however, that this Resolution does not constitute such a conveyance and no such conveyance shall be created until executed and delivered by the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0270**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby approves the following application for Liquor License, subject to final inspection and compliance with all provisions of applicable codes and ordinances:

Tacos Tacuba, 5748 34TH AVE S Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 11) submitted by Mexico City Street Grill LLC, BLWine, LIC429250

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

#### **COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0271**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby approves the following applications (22) for Liquor License Renewal, subject to final inspection and compliance with all provisions of applicable codes and ordinances:

1. Bauhaus Brew Labs, 1315 TYLER ST NE Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 1) submitted by Bauhaus Brew Labs LLC, BLBrewery, LIC337763
2. Bauhaus Brew Labs, 1315 TYLER ST NE Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 1) submitted by Bauhaus Brew Labs LLC, BLBrewery, LIC337764
3. Cedar Inn, 4155 CEDAR AVE S Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 12) submitted by Harry's Cedar Inn Inc, BLWine, LIC384742
4. Puerto Veracruzano 2, 331 LAKE ST E Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 9) submitted by Puerto Veracruzano 2 Inc, BLLiquor, LIC397214
5. Silver Fern, 114 HENNEPIN AVE E Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 3) submitted by Silver Fern LLC, BLWine, LIC408802
6. The Anchor Fish & Chips, 302 13TH AVE NE Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 3) submitted by The Anchor Fish and Chips LLC, BLWine, LIC65550
7. Boludo, 8 38TH ST W Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 8) submitted by DK Empanadas LLC, BLLiquor, LIC399464
8. Clay Oven, 1027 WASHINGTON AVE S Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 3) submitted by INDIAN CLAY OVEN INC, BLWine, LIC407864
9. Hometowne Pizza Minneapolis, 118 4TH ST N Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 7) submitted by TGB Enterprise LLC, BLLiquor, LIC422129
10. Icehouse, 2528 NICOLLET AVE Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 10) submitted by Icehouse Inc, BLLiquor, LIC80578
11. The Crooked Pint Alehouse, 501 WASHINGTON AVE S Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 3) submitted by The Appian Way Company LLC, BLLiquor, LIC79631
12. The Tasting Room, 1434 31ST ST W Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 10) submitted by J & J Graves LLC, BLWine, LIC375993
13. Barbette, 1600 LAKE ST W Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 7) submitted by Mr Susie LLC, BLLiquor, LIC75721
14. Gigi's Cafe, 824 36TH ST W Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 10) submitted by Ventnor Partners LLC, BLWine, LIC65678
15. Troubadour Wine Bar, 2827 HENNEPIN AVE Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 10) submitted by Troubadour Wine Bar, LLC, BLWine, LIC379980
16. Book Club, 5411 PENN AVE S Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 13) submitted by Out of the Blue Ventures LLC, BLLiquor, LIC79893
17. Bread & Pickle, 4135 WEST LAKE HARRIET PKWY Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 10) submitted by Bread & Pickle LLC, BLWine, LIC65878
18. Gardens of Salonica, 19 5TH ST NE Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 3) submitted by Boughatsa Inc, BLWine, LIC65908
19. Lucky Dragon Restaurant, 1827 RIVERSIDE AVE Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 6) submitted by Lucky Dragon Riverside Restaurant Inc, BLLiquor, LIC79145

20. Luminary Arts Center, 700 1ST ST N Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 3) submitted by The Minnesota Opera, BLWine, LIC403839
21. Quang Restaurant, 2719 NICOLLET AVE Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 10) submitted by Quang Oriental Pastry and Deli Inc, BLWine, LIC65569
22. Town Hall Tap, 4810 CHICAGO AVE Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 8) submitted by Town Hall Tap LLC, BLLiquor, LIC385677

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

#### **COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0272**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby approves the following applications (2) for Gambling License, subject to final inspection and compliance with all provisions of applicable codes and ordinances:

1. Edinburgh USA Pro Am Foundation, 2500 UNIVERSITY AVE NE Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 1) submitted by Edinburgh USA Pro Am Foundation, BLGeneral, LIC430550
2. Edinburgh USA Pro Am Foundation, 3675 MINNEHAHA AVE Minneapolis, MN, (Ward 12) submitted by Edinburgh USA Pro Am Foundation, BLGeneral, LIC430551

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

#### **COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0273**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby approves an application submitted by Council Member LaTrisha Vetaw to add the commemorative street name of Birdell Beeks Way to 21st Ave N between Penn Ave N and Queen Ave N.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Business, Housing & Zoning Committee, Osman offered Resolution 2026R-134 amending Resolution 2024R-156 entitled "Authorizing sale of land Disposition Parcels MH-353 and MH-351 under the Minneapolis Homes Program at 2401 Ilion Ave N and 3246 Oliver Ave N," passed May 23, 2024, by updating the legal description in the resolution.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-134**

**By Osman**

**Amending Resolution 2024R-156 entitled “Authorizing sale of land Disposition Parcels MH-353 and MH-351 under the Minneapolis Homes Program at 2401 Ilion Ave N and 3246 Oliver Ave N,” passed May 23, 2024, by updating the legal description in the body of the resolution.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis, hereinafter known as the City, has received an offer to purchase and develop Disposition Parcels MH-353 and MH-351 from Urban Homeworks, Inc. or an affiliated entity, hereinafter known as the Redeveloper, the Parcels MH-353 and MH-351, being the following described land situated in the City of Minneapolis, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota, to wit:

Legal Description of Disposition Parcels

MH-353; 2401 Ilion Ave N: ~~Lot 28, and that part of Lot 27, described as follows: Beginning at the Southwesterly corner of said Lot 27; thence Southeasterly along the dividing line between Lots 27 and 28 a distance of 96 feet; thence Northerly measured at right angles to the dividing line between Lots 27 and 28 a distance of 6 feet; thence Northwesterly in a straight line to the place of beginning, all in Block 17, Forest Heights, Hennepin County Lot 10, Block 9, Forest Heights, Hennepin County, Minnesota.~~

MH-351; 3246 Oliver Ave N: Lot 27, Jerome & Latham's Addition to Minneapolis; and

Whereas, the Redeveloper has offered to pay the sum of \$85,000 for Parcel MH-353 and \$60,000 for Parcel MH-351 and the City is writing down the purchase price to \$1 for each parcel to further affordable housing goals; the offer includes a development plan and commitment to improve by redeveloping the property. This offer is in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program; and

Whereas, the Redeveloper has submitted to the City a statement of financial responsibility and qualifications; and

Whereas, the City has had the re-use value reviewed by an appraisal expert, stating that the re-use value opinion is consistent with accepted methods of aiding the City in determining re-use values of \$85,000 for Parcel MH-353 and \$60,000 for Parcel MH-351; and

Whereas, pursuant to due notice thereof published in Finance and Commerce on April 26, 2024, a public hearing on the proposed sale was duly held on May 14, 2024, at the Public Service Center, 250 4th St S, Room 350, in the City of Minneapolis, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the re-use value, for uses in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program is hereby determined to be the sum of \$85,000 for Parcel MH-353 and \$60,000 for Parcel MH-351.

Be It Further Resolved that the acceptance of the offer and proposal is hereby determined to be in the best interests of the City and its people and that the transaction furthers the City's general plan of economic development in accordance with the City's approved disposition policy and it is further determined that the Redeveloper possesses the qualifications and financial resources necessary to acquire and develop the Parcel in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan and/or Program.

Be It Further Resolved that the proposal be and the same is hereby accepted, subject to the execution of a contract for the sale of land and further subject to the following conditions: 1) Land sale closing must occur on or before 180 days from the date this Resolution is approved by the City; and 2) Payment of holding costs of \$1,000.00 per month if the land sale closing does not occur on or before the closing deadline. The City is in the process of clearing title and closing will take place after title is cleared.

Be It Further Resolved that the sale conditions described above may be waived or amended with the approval of the Department of Community Planning & Economic Development Director.

Be It Further Resolved that upon publication of this Resolution the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City be and the same is hereby authorized to execute and deliver the contract to the Redeveloper; provided, however, that this Resolution does not constitute such a contract and no such contract shall be created until executed by the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City.

Be It Further Resolved that the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a conveyance of the land to the Redeveloper in accordance with the provisions of the executed contract and upon payment to the City for the purchase price thereof; provided, however, that this Resolution does not constitute such a conveyance and no such conveyance shall be created until executed and delivered by the Finance Officer or other appropriate official of the City.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

#### **COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0274**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby authorizes a cooperative funding agreement among members of the Fair Housing Implementation Council with City participation not to exceed \$25,000 to facilitate implementation of fair housing planning activities having metro-wide significance for a term of July 1, 2025 - June 30, 2029.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

#### **COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0275**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby:

1. Authorizes an easement agreement granting a utility easement to Northern States Power Company (dba Xcel Energy) for portions of City-owned property at 3351 West River Rd N, 3451 West River Rd N, and 3501 West River Rd N.
2. Amends the legal description for a Watermain Easement Declaration at 3501 West River Rd N.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

#### **COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0276**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby:

1. Passage of Resolution 2026R-135 granting a waiver of park dedication fee requirements associated with the Malcolm Yards Market LLC and Malcolm Yards Housing Project, LP development at 445 Malcolm Avenue SE, 419-504 29th Avenue SE, & 501 30th Avenue SE in exchange for land interests in and in close proximity to the District property for parkland located within the Grand Rounds Missing Link Regional Trail to satisfy all Park Dedication Ordinance requirements for the entire district.
2. Authorizes staff to execute a Parkland Development and Easement Agreement between the City of Minneapolis, Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board, and Wall Development Company, LLC.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

#### **RESOLUTION 2026R-135**

**By Osman**

#### **Establishing a Parkland Dedication Ordinance District and Accepting Parkland Dedication in Advance of Development.**

Whereas, the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances, Sections 598.340 – 598.420, and Chapter 15 of the Minneapolis Park Board (“Park Board”) Code of Ordinances (the “Park Dedication Ordinances”) establish parkland dedication requirements for certain new projects in the City of Minneapolis; and

Whereas, Wall Development Company, LLC owns certain real property legally described as that part of Lots 1, 3, and 4, Block 1, Malcolm Yards Second Addition; and that part of Lot 4, Block 1, Malcolm Yards; all according to the recorded plats thereof, Hennepin County, Minnesota, described as follows: Beginning at the southeast corner of Lot 4, Block 1 of Malcolm Yards Second Addition, thence South 89 degrees 44 minutes 55 seconds West, 334.87 feet; thence North 00 degrees 14 minutes 23 seconds West, 222.34 feet; thence South 89 degrees 44 minutes, 54 seconds West, 283.88 feet; thence South 00 degrees 15 minutes 06 seconds West, 15.92 feet; thence North 88 degrees 48 minutes 26 seconds East, 435.86 feet; thence South 00 degrees 24 minutes 34 seconds East, 1.60 feet; thence North 88 degrees 38 minutes 54 seconds West, 17.12 feet; thence North 00 degrees 17 minutes 45 seconds West, 327.33 feet; thence North 88 degrees 53 minutes 28 seconds East, 477.91 feet; thence South 00 degrees 14 minutes 23 seconds East, 142.39 feet; thence North 88 degrees 59 minutes 03 seconds East, 259.29 feet; thence South 00 degrees 14 minutes 23 seconds East, 92.24 feet; thence North 88 degrees 59 minutes 03 seconds East, 334.84 feet; thence South 00 degrees 15 minutes 05 seconds East, 142.16 feet; thence South 88 degrees 45 minutes 50 seconds West, 91.30 feet; thence South 00 degrees 12 minutes 10 seconds East, 51.12 feet;

thence North 88 degrees 48 minutes 41 seconds East, 91.34 feet; thence South 00 degrees 15 minutes 05 seconds East, 118.17 feet to the point of beginning and there terminating (“District”) consisting of approximately 302,334 square feet which is intended to be developed in the future ; and

Whereas, the Park Dedication Ordinances provide three park dedication alternatives for new development: the dedication of land, the payment of a fee, or the creation of private land maintained for public use; and

Whereas, the current owners of the District property and affiliated owners of adjacent property wish to dedicate sufficient land interests in and in close proximity to the District property to satisfy all Park Dedication Ordinance requirements for the entire District; and

Whereas, the maximum land dedication required by the Park Dedication Ordinances is 10% of the land being developed; and

Whereas, the Park Dedication Ordinances require that to be considered adequate land dedication, certain criteria are to be considered in order to determine whether the land to be dedicated is suitable including whether the use is consistent with the comprehensive plan, whether there are sufficient resources available to develop, operate and maintain the new park land whether the land is adequate for its intended purposes; and

Whereas, Wall Development Company, LLC and affiliated entities Malcolm Yards Market LLC and Malcolm Yards Housing Project, LP (collectively, “Owners”) have agreed to convey an easement for trail and other park purposes with a collective area of 40,791 square feet that is legally described as:

Parcel 1:

That part of Lot 4, Block 1, Malcolm Yards Second Addition; and that part of Tract A, R.L.S. No.1889; all according to the recorded plats thereof, Hennepin County, Minnesota, described as follows: Beginning at the southeast corner of Lot 4, Block 1 of Malcolm Yards Second Addition, according to the recorded plat thereof, thence North 00 degrees 15 minutes 05 seconds West, 30.00 feet; thence South 89 degrees 44 minutes 55 seconds West, 334.87 feet; thence South 00 degrees 14 minutes 23 seconds East, 48.48 feet; thence North 89 degrees 44 minutes 55 seconds East, 63.88 feet; thence South 00 degrees 14 minutes 23 seconds East, 6.52 feet; thence North 89 degrees 44 minutes 55 seconds East, 91.50 feet; thence North 00 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds East, 12.34 feet; thence North 90 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds East, 20.00 feet; thence South 00 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds East, 12.25 feet; thence North 89 degrees 44 minutes 55 seconds East, 159.50 feet; thence North 00 degrees 15 minutes 05 seconds West, 25.18 feet to the point of beginning and there terminating.

Parcel 2:

That part of Lot 1, Block 1, Malcolm Yards Second Addition; and that part of Lot 2, Block 1, Malcolm Yards; all according to the recorded plats thereof, Hennepin County, Minnesota, described as follows: Commencing at the southeast corner of Lot 4, Block 1 of Malcolm Yards Second Addition, according to the recorded plat thereof, thence South 89 degrees 44 minutes 55 seconds West, 400.87 feet to the point of beginning; thence South 00 degrees 14 minutes 23 seconds East, 25.00 feet; thence South 89 degrees 44 minutes 55 seconds West, 207.66 feet; thence North 00 degrees 47 minutes 14 seconds West, 9.38 feet; thence North 77 degrees 01 minutes 14 seconds West, 137.88 feet; thence South 25 degrees 21 minutes 24 seconds West, 17.67 feet; thence South 89 degrees 44 minutes 55 seconds West, 104.93 feet; thence North 60 degrees 59 minutes 58 seconds West, 61.39 feet; thence North 89 degrees 44 minutes 55 seconds East, 508.10 feet; thence South 00 degrees 14 minutes 23 seconds East, 30.00 feet to the point of beginning and there terminating.

Parcel 3:

That part of Lot 4, Block 1, Malcolm Yards Second Addition; according to the recorded plat thereof, Hennepin County, Minnesota, described as follows: Commencing at the southeast corner of Lot 4, Block 1 of Malcolm Yards Second Addition, thence North 00 degrees 15 minutes 05 seconds West, 118.17 feet; thence South 88 degrees 48 minutes 41 seconds West, 91.34 feet; thence North 00 degrees 12 minutes 10 seconds West, 51.12 feet; thence North 88 degrees 45 minutes 50 seconds East, 66.30 feet to the point of beginning; thence North 00 degrees 15 minutes 05 seconds West, 142.26 feet; thence North 88 degrees 59 minutes 03 seconds East, 25.00 feet; thence South 00 degrees 15 minutes 05 seconds East, 142.16 feet; thence South 88 degrees 45 minutes 50 seconds West, 25.00 feet to the point of beginning and there terminating.

(collectively, the “Trail Easement”) to the Park Board; and

Whereas, the City finds that the agreements negotiated by the Park Board with the Developer for the Trail Easement satisfy the requirements for suitability and adaptability of the land for park purposes; and

Whereas, the square footage of the Trail Easement exceeds the maximum Park Dedication Ordinance requirements for the District;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of the City of Minneapolis:

That the above findings are hereby found to be true and correct and are incorporated herein in their entirety.

Be It Further Resolved that conveyance of the Trail Easement to the Park Board shall be considered to be satisfaction in full of the Park Dedication Ordinance requirements applicable to the District.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

#### **COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0277**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby:

1. Accepts a grant from the 2025 Metropolitan Council Livable Communities Demonstration Account (LCDA) - Affordable Homeownership grants, in the amount of \$229,212, for the construction of four rehabilitated homes sold to households earning 41%-60% AMI or less.
2. Authorizes agreements with the Metropolitan Council, Pedzo Star Properties LLC, and the City of Lakes Community Land Trust or related entities for the grant.
3. Passage of Resolution 2026R-136 approving appropriation of funds to the Community Planning & Economic Development Department.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-136**

**By Osman**

**Amending The 2026 General Appropriation Resolution.**

Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the above-entitled resolution, as amended, be further amended by increasing the appropriation for the Community Planning and Economic Development Department in the Other Grants State & Local Fund (01600-8900230) by \$219,000, and increasing the Community Planning and Economic Development Department revenue estimate in the Other Grants State & Local Fund (01600-8900900-322503) by \$219,000.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Business, Housing & Zoning Committee, Osman offered Resolution 2026R-137 authorizing a grant application to the Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development [DEED] Contamination Cleanup and Investigation Program for environmental investigation and/or remediation funding for the following projects: 250 Fremont Development (250 Fremont Ave N), \$358,361; InnerCity Tennis (155 Irving Ave N), \$1,035,800; and Seven Points Redevelopment (3045 Hennepin Ave), \$141,042.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-137**

**By Osman**

**Authorizing grant applications to the Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development [DEED] Contamination Cleanup and Investigation Program.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis has approved the Contamination Cleanup and/or Investigation grant program applications to be submitted to the Department of Employment and Economic Development (DEED) on May 1, 2026 by the City of Minneapolis for the following sites/projects: 250 Fremont Development (250 Fremont Ave N), \$358,361; InnerCity Tennis (155 Irving Ave N), \$1,035,800; and Seven Points Redevelopment (3045 Hennepin Ave), \$ 141,042;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the City of Minneapolis act as the legal sponsor for the project contained in the above-referenced Contamination Cleanup and Investigation Grant Program application to be submitted on May 1, 2026 and that the Director of the Department of Community Planning and Economic Development (or his designee) is hereby authorized to apply to the Department of Employment and Economic Development for funding of the projects on behalf of the City and to sign and submit a Conflict of Interest Disclosure form in connection with each such application on May 1, 2026.

Be It Further Resolved that the City of Minneapolis has the legal authority to apply for financial assistance, and the institutional, managerial, and financial capability to ensure adequate project administration.

Be It Further Resolved that the sources and amounts of the local match(es) identified in the application are committed to the projects identified.

Be It Further Resolved that the City of Minneapolis has not violated any Federal, State or local laws pertaining to fraud, bribery, graft, kickbacks, collusion, conflict of interest or other unlawful or corrupt practice.

Be It Further Resolved that upon approval of its applications by the State of Minnesota and acceptance by the City Council, the City of Minneapolis may enter into an agreement with the State of Minnesota for the above-referenced project, and that the City of Minneapolis certifies that it will comply with all applicable laws and regulation as stated in all contract agreements.

Be It Further Resolved that upon approval of its application by the State of Minnesota and acceptance by the City Council, the Finance Officer or his designee will be authorized to execute such agreements as are necessary to implement the project on behalf of the applicant.

Be It Further Resolved that the City of Minneapolis is located within the seven-county metropolitan area defined in section 473.121, subdivision 2, and is participating in the local housing incentives program under section 473.254.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Business, Housing & Zoning Committee, Osman offered Resolution 2026R-138 authorizing a grant application to the Metropolitan Council's Livable Communities Act's program(s) for environmental investigation and/or remediation funding for the following project: Grain Exchange Redevelopment (400 4th St S and 301 4th Ave S), \$2,179,550.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-138**

**By Osman**

**Authorizing a grant application to the Metropolitan Council’s Livable Communities Act program(s) for a project.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis (the “City”) is a participant in the Livable Communities Act’s Local Housing Incentives Account Program for 2026 as determined by the Metropolitan Council, and is therefore eligible to make application for funds under the Livable Communities Act grant program(s); and

Whereas, the City has identified the following investigation and/or clean-up project within the City that meet the Livable Communities Act’s grant purposes and criteria: Grain Exchange Redevelopment (400 4th St S and 301 4th Ave S), \$2,179,550; and

Whereas, the City has the institutional, managerial and financial capability to ensure adequate project and grant administration; and

Whereas, the City certifies that it will comply with all applicable laws and regulations as stated in the contract grant agreement(s); and

Whereas, the City finds that the contamination investigation and/or cleanup will not occur through private or other public investment within the reasonably foreseeable future without Livable Communities Act’s grant program funding; and

Whereas, the City represents that it has undertaken reasonable and good faith efforts to procure funding for the activities for which Livable Communities Act grant funding is sought but was not able to find or secure from other sources funding that is necessary for investigation and/or cleanup completion and states that this representation is based on the following reasons and supporting facts: City staff members provide information about all potential sources of brownfield grant funding and actively encourage project proponents to seek funding from as many prospective funding sources as possible;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the City Council authorizes the Director of the Department of Community Planning and Economic Development or other appropriate City staff to apply on behalf of the City of Minneapolis to the Metropolitan Council for Livable Communities Act grant program funding for the above-referenced project. The City acknowledges that for each grant awarded to and accepted by the City, the City will be the grantee and will act as legal sponsor and will administer and be responsible for grant funds expended for the project referred to in the applicable grant application.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Business, Housing & Zoning Committee, Osman offered Resolution 2026R-139 authorizing grant applications to Hennepin County’s Environmental Response Fund [ERF] program for environmental investigation and/or remediation funding for the following projects: 250 Fremont

Development (250 Fremont Ave N), \$143,983; CLCLT Homes -- Spring 2026 (2108 Glenwood Ave, 2418 Cole Ave SE, 2501 16th Ave S, 2543 10th Ave S, 3015 36th Ave S, 3132 Park Ave, 3417 5th Ave S, 3654 Queen Ave N, 3844 38th Ave S, 3905 26th Ave S, 4217 12th Ave S, 5417 Nokomis Ave S, and 5724 46th Ave S), \$290,000; Grain Exchange Redevelopment (400 4th St S, and 301 4th Ave S), \$500,000; InnerCity Tennis (155 Irving Ave N), \$690,500; and Minnesota Brownfields -- Brownfield Gap Financing Program (addresses TBD), \$400,000.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-139**

**By Osman**

**Authorizing applications to the Hennepin County Environmental Response Fund for various projects.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis intends to act as the legal sponsor for, and/or approves the independent and direct submission of, one or more of the following investigation or cleanup projects: 250 Fremont Development (250 Fremont Ave N), \$143,983; CLCLT Homes -- Spring 2026 (2108 Glenwood Ave, 2418 Cole Ave SE, 2501 16th Ave S, 2543 10th Ave S, 3015 36th Ave S, 3132 Park Ave, 3417 5th Ave S, 3654 Queen Ave N, 3844 38th Ave S, 3905 26th Ave S, 4217 12th Ave S, 5417 Nokomis Ave S, and 5724 46th Ave S), \$290,000; Grain Exchange Redevelopment (400 4th St S and 301 4th Ave S), \$500,000; InnerCity Tennis (155 Irving Ave N), \$690,500; and Minnesota Brownfields -- Brownfield Gap Financing Program (addresses TBD), \$400,000;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the City Council approves the aforementioned investigation and/or cleanup projects (although said approval does not confer other benefits or waive zoning, land use, building code or other applicable requirements), for which Environmental Response Fund grant applications are being submitted to the Hennepin County Environment and Energy Department on May 1, 2026 by the City of Minneapolis or by the affected property owner or developer.

Be It Further Resolved that for each project identified above for which the City is not the applicant, the City Council approval granted by this resolution is specifically contingent upon the applicant agreeing to have City staff administer (subject to the applicable City grant administration fee) any Hennepin County ERF grant that results from an application submitted directly to the County by the project developer, if the City is administering funds from other grantors for related project activities.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**The CLIMATE & INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE submitted the following report:**

On behalf of the Climate & Infrastructure Committee, Wonsley offered Resolution 2026R-140 adopting special assessments, in the amount of \$1,903,074.70, for the Logan Park Industrial Street Reconstruction-Phase 1 Project No.2295 (CPV123).

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-140**

**By Wonsley**

**Adopting special assessments for the Logan Park Industrial Street Reconstruction Project - Phase 1, Special Improvement of Existing Street No. 2295 (CPV123).**

Whereas, a public hearing is scheduled for April 16, 2026, in accordance with Minneapolis City Charter, Article IX, Section 9.6(c), and Minneapolis Code of Ordinances, Section 24.110, to consider the proposed improvements as designated in Resolution 2026R-073 passed March 5, 2026, to consider the proposed special assessments as on file in the City Engineer's Special Assessment Office, and to consider all written and oral objections and statements regarding the proposed improvements and the proposed special assessments;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the City Engineer is hereby ordered to proceed and do the work as designated in said Resolution 2026R-073 passed March 5, 2026.

Be It Further Resolved that the proposed special assessments in the total amount of \$1,903,074.70 for the Logan Park Industrial Street Reconstruction Project- Phase 1 (Levy 01026, Project 2295C), as on file in the City Engineer's Special Assessment Office, be and hereby are adopted and assessed against the benefited properties.

Be It Further Resolved that the number of successive equal annual principal installments by which the special assessments or more than \$150 may be paid shall be fixed at twenty (20) with the interest charged at a rate determined by the Finance Department, with collection of the special assessments to begin on the 2028 real estate tax statements.

Be It Further Resolved that the number of installments by which the special assessment of \$150 or less may be paid shall be fixed at one (1) with the interest charged at a rate determined by the Finance Department, with collection of the special assessments to begin on the 2028 real estate tax statements.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Climate & Infrastructure Committee, Wonsley offered Resolution 2026R-141 requesting the Board of Estimate and Taxation authorize the City's issuance and sale of assessment bonds, in the amount of \$1,903,074.70, for the project.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-141**

**By Wonsley**

**Requesting the Board of Estimate and Taxation authorize the City's issuance and sale of assessment bonds in the amount of \$1,903,074.70 for certain purposes other than the purchase of public utilities.**

Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the Board of Estimate and Taxation be requested to authorize the City to incur indebtedness and issue and sell City of Minneapolis bonds for the purpose of paying the assessed cost of street improvements in the Logan Park Industrial Street Reconstruction Project- Phase 1, Special Improvement of Existing Street No. 2295, to be assessed against benefited properties as estimated by the City Council, which assessments shall be collectible in twenty (20) successive annual installments, payable in the same manner as real estate taxes.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Climate & Infrastructure Committee, Wonsley offered Resolution 2026R-142 ordering the abandonment and removal of areaways located in the public right-of-way in conflict with the project.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-142**

**By Wonsley**

**Ordering the City Engineer to abandon and remove the areaways located in the public street right-of-way that are in conflict with the street reconstruction projects in the Logan Park Industrial- Phase 1 project area.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis has scheduled the reconstruction starting in 2026 in Logan Park Industrial- Phase 1 project area of Minneapolis; and

Whereas, there are areaways located in the public street right-of-way that are in conflict with said reconstruction; and

Whereas, a public hearing was held on April 16, 2026, in accordance Minneapolis City Charter, Article IX, Section 9.6(c), and Minneapolis Code of Ordinances, Sections 24.110 and 95.90, to consider the proposed abandonment and removal of the above-mentioned areaways and to consider all written and oral objections and statements regarding the proposed areaway abandonment and removal;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the City Engineer is hereby ordered and directed to abandon and remove the conflicting areaways located in the public street right-of-way adjoining the properties along both sides of Jackson St NE – Broadway St NE to 12th Ave NE, 12th Ave NE- Jackson St NE to Railroad tracks, 13th Ave NE- Central Ave

NE to Tyler St NE, 14th Ave NE- RR tracks to Tyler St NE, Van Buren St NE 14th Ave NE to cul-de-sac, Tyler St NE- Broadway St NE to 13th Ave NE.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Climate & Infrastructure Committee, Wonsley offered Resolution 2026R-143 adopting special assessments in the amount of \$1,375,505.78 for the Street Resurfacing Project No. 2380C (CPV05626).

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-143**

**By Wonsley**

**Adopting the special assessments for Northrop Residential (2380C) in the 2026 Street Resurfacing Program.**

Whereas, a public hearing was held on April 16, 2026, in accordance with Minneapolis City Charter, Article IX, Section 9.6(c), and Minneapolis Code of Ordinances, Section 24.110, to consider the proposed improvements as designated in Resolution 2026R-018, passed February 5th, 2026, to consider the proposed special assessments as on file in the Public Works Special Assessment Office, and to consider all written and oral objections and statements regarding the proposed improvements and the proposed special assessments;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the Department of Public Works is hereby ordered to proceed and do the work as designated in said Resolution 2026R-018, passed February 5th, 2026. Be It Further Resolved that the proposed special assessments in the total amount of \$1,375,505.78 for the Northrop Residential Project (Levy No. 01027, 2380), as on file in the Public Works Special Assessment Office, are hereby adopted and assessed against the benefited properties.

Be It Further Resolved that the number of successive equal annual principal installments by which the special assessments of more than \$150 may be paid shall be fixed at five (5) and that the interest be charged at an interest rate of 3.8% with collection of the special assessments to begin on the 2027 real estate tax statements.

Be It Further Resolved that the number of installments by which the special assessments of \$150 or less may be paid shall be fixed at one (1) and that the interest be charged at an interest rate of 3.70% with collection of the special assessments to begin on the 2027, real estate tax statements.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Climate & Infrastructure Committee, Wonsley offered Resolution 2026R-144 requesting the Board of Estimate and Taxation authorize the City's issuance and sale of assessment bonds in the amount of \$1,375,505.78.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-144**

**By Wonsley**

**Requesting the Board of Estimate and Taxation authorize the City to issue and sell City of Minneapolis bonds in the amount of \$1,375,505.78 for certain purposes other than the purchase of public utilities.**

Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the Board of Estimate and Taxation be requested to authorize the City to incur indebtedness and issue and sell City of Minneapolis bonds for the purpose of paying the assessed cost of street improvements in the Northrop Residential Project Residential Project No. 2380C, to be assessed against benefited properties as estimated by the City Council, which assessments shall be collectible in five (5) successive annual installments, payable in the same manner as real estate taxes.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0278**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby authorizes a cooperative agreement with the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT), to accept up to \$8,000 in funding from the MnDOT Community Roadside Landscaping Partnership Program, for landscaping improvements within the Interstate right-of-way at Triangle Park.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

State of Minnesota  
County of Hennepin }ss.  
City of Minneapolis

I, Casey Joe Carl, City Clerk of the City of Minneapolis, in the County of Hennepin, and State of Minnesota, hereby certify that the foregoing Council Action 2026A-0278 was duly presented to Mayor Jacob Frey on April 23, 2026, and was returned without his signature.

Therefore, in accordance with the provisions of Article IV, Section 4.4(c) of the City Charter, the action has become and is valid, and I hereby certify that it has the same force and effect as if approved by said Mayor. (Published 4/25/2026)

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0279**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby authorizes a cooperative agreement with the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) to accept up to \$8,000 in funding from the MnDOT Community Roadside Landscaping Partnership Program for landscaping improvements within the Interstate right-of-way at the intersection of 7th St.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

State of Minnesota  
County of Hennepin }ss.  
City of Minneapolis

I, Casey Joe Carl, City Clerk of the City of Minneapolis, in the County of Hennepin, and State of Minnesota, hereby certify that the foregoing Council Action 2026A-0279 was duly presented to Mayor Jacob Frey on April 23, 2026, and was returned without his signature.

Therefore, in accordance with the provisions of Article IV, Section 4.4(c) of the City Charter, the action has become and is valid, and I hereby certify that it has the same force and effect as if approved by said Mayor. (Published 4/25/2026)

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0280**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby approves deviations from the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances for a large block event permit submitted by Northeast Minneapolis Arts Association (NEMAA) allowing Art-A-Whirl block event held on May 15 through May 17, 2026, located on 14th Ave NE between Van Buren St NE and Quincy St NE and on Quincy St NE between 15th Ave NE and 12th Ave NE to operate outside the hours permitted by ordinance.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0281**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby approves deviations from the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances for the large business district block event permit application submitted by 50th & France Business Association to enable Edina Art Fair to close roads from June 5, 2026, through June 7, 2026, for a block event and to operate outside the hours permitted.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0282**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby approves deviations from the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances for the business district block event permit application submitted by Paisley Park Operations, LLC, allowing Prince Celebration: 10th Anniversary Celebration of Life Block Event to close roads on June 6, 2026, and June 7, 2026, which will include operating outside the hours permitted under Minneapolis Code of Ordinances, and permitting Amplified Sound outside the hours allowed under Title 15, Chapter 389.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Climate & Infrastructure Committee, Wonsley offered Resolution 2026R-145 expressing the City's support for Hennepin County's Marshall St Northeast (CSAH 23) reconstruction project.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-145**

**By Wonsley**

**Expressing the City's support for the Hennepin County and City of Minneapolis cooperative project: Marshall Street NE Reconstruction Project.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis' Cooperative Projects program is aimed to facilitate improvements within the city limits on cooperative projects with Hennepin County and other agencies that provide benefit to the travelling public, adjacent property owners, and the City in general; and

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis has been working with our partner agency, Hennepin County, to develop a concept layout that would improve Marshall Street Northeast (County Road 23) from 3rd Avenue Northeast to Lowry Avenue Northeast (County Road 153); and

Whereas, the project presents an opportunity to make meaningful safety and mobility improvements, to advance mode shift goals, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and vehicle miles traveled – all goals of the City's Transportation Action Plan; and

Whereas, Hennepin County completed a feasibility study from 2017 to 2018 to evaluate ways to improve safety, accessibility, and comfort for all users, and through community engagement, community priorities were identified, helping to inform potential roadway cross-sections to consider in a future reconstruction; and

Whereas, Hennepin County led a three-phased engagement process from October 2024 to October 2025 that was designed to equitably inform, consult, and involve area residents and stakeholders in selecting a preferred design concept; and

Whereas, Hennepin County performed additional small group business engagement from December 2025 to January 2026, from Broadway Street Northeast to Lowry Avenue; and

Whereas, the need to improve pavement condition and multimodal assets of the Marshall Street Northeast corridor have been well documented and agreed upon by Hennepin County; and

Whereas, the Marshall Street Northeast Reconstruction project is well-suited to deliver corridor improvements to support businesses, future development, residents, and an evolving riverfront; and

Whereas, the Marshall Street Northeast Reconstruction project includes safety and mobility improvements on the Pedestrian Priority Network, All Ages and Abilities Bikeway network and supports Metro Transit's Route 827, all of which will support more people making the choice to walk, bike and take transit more often; and

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis supports the improvement of streets on the Pedestrian Priority Network, and All Ages and Abilities Bikeway Network routes; and

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis wishes to express its support for projects submitted by partner agencies;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the City of Minneapolis expresses support for the following project:

Marshall Street Northeast Reconstruction Project

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0283**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby accepts the low bid of Minger Construction Companies, Inc, submitted on Event No. 4022, in the amount of \$235,742, to provide all materials, labor, equipment, and incidentals necessary for the Dewatering Gate Site Improvements at 4300 Marshall project, and authorizes a contract for the project, all in accordance with City specifications.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0284**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby accepts the low bid of Groove Tech of Minnesota, Inc., submitted on Event No. 4043, in the amount of \$256,085.50, to provide all materials, labor, equipment, and incidentals necessary for the Traffic Marking-1st Ave South and Nicollet Avenue project and authorizes a contract for the project, all in accordance with City specifications.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0285**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby authorizes an increase to Contract No. COM0009789 with PCI Roads, LLC, in the amount of \$433,307 for a total amount not to exceed \$2,037,809.58, for additional repairs to complete the 2025 Concrete Pavement Rehabilitation Project, all in accordance with City specifications.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0286**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby:

1. Passage of Resolution 2026R-146 designating the location and improvements proposed to be made in the 38th Street and Chicago Avenue Street Reconstruction Project No. 10030 (CPV177).
2. Adopts a report receiving a cost estimate of \$14,868,343 for street reconstruction improvements and a list of benefited properties for 38th Street and Chicago Avenue Street Reconstruction Project No. 10030 (CPV177), and authorizes the City Engineer to prepare a proposed Street Reconstruction Special Improvement Assessment against the list of benefited properties by applying the 2026 Uniform Assessment Rates.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-146**

**By Wonsley**

**Designating the location and improvements of certain existing streets in the 38th Street and Chicago Avenue (George Floyd Square) Street Reconstruction Project No. 10030 (PV177).**

Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the following existing streets within the City of Minneapolis are hereby designated to be improved, pursuant to the provisions of Minneapolis City Charter, Article IX, Section 9.6(c), by removing the existing road, placing new sidewalk, new pavement, new curb and gutter, utility improvements, new trees, new signage, new signals, and new pavement markings:

38th Street East between Park Avenue and 10th Avenue South and Chicago Avenue from 37th Street East to 39th Street East.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**The COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE submitted the following report:**

**VETOED BY THE MAYOR**

On behalf of the Committee of the Whole, Chowdhury offered Ordinance 2026-005 amending Title 12, Chapter 244 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Housing: Maintenance Code, temporarily extending the length of the required pre-eviction notice.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized ordinance.

**ORDINANCE 2026-005**

**By Chowdhury, Osman, Stevenson, Chavez, and Chughtai**

**Intro & 1st Reading: 4/9/2026**

**Ref to: COW**

**2nd Reading: 4/23/2026**

**Amending Title 12, Chapter 244 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Housing: Maintenance Code.**

The City Council of the City of Minneapolis do ordain as follows:

Section 1. That the 30-day time periods for pre-eviction notices established in Sections 244.2060(a), (c)(2), and (e) of the above-entitled ordinance be suspended and replaced with 45-day time periods, to read as follows:

(a) At least ~~thirty (30)~~ forty-five (45) days before bringing an eviction action alleging nonpayment of rent or other unpaid financial obligations in violation of the lease, a landlord must provide written notice to the residential tenant specifying the basis for future eviction action.

(b) For an allegation of nonpayment of rent or other unpaid financial obligations in violation of the lease, the landlord must include the following in the written notice:

(1) The total amount due;

(2) A specific accounting of the amount of the total due that is comprised of unpaid rents, late fees, or other charges under the lease; and

(3) The name and address of the person authorized to receive rent and fees on behalf of the landlord.

(c) A notice provided under this section must:

(1) Provide a description of how to access legal and financial assistance through information posted on the city's website; and

(2) State that the landlord may bring an eviction action following expiration of the ~~thirty (30)~~ forty-five (45) day notice period if the tenant fails to pay the total amount due or fails to vacate.

(d) The landlord or an agent of the landlord must deliver the notice personally or by first-class mail to the address of the leased premises. The notice may, in addition to but not in place of personal delivery or first class mail, be delivered by email or other electronic means to the residential tenant at the residential tenant's email address or electronic account on file with the landlord.

(e) If the tenant fails to correct the rent delinquency within ~~thirty (30)~~ forty-five (45) days of delivery or mailing of the notice, or fails to vacate, the landlord may bring an eviction action under Minnesota Statutes Section 504B.321.

(f) Enforcement. In addition to any other remedy available at equity or law, failure to comply with the provisions of this section may result in criminal prosecution, adverse rental license action, and/or administrative fines, restrictions, or penalties as provided in chapter 2 of this Code. A notice of violation, as described in section 244.150, shall not be required in order to establish or enforce a violation of this section.

(g) Severability. If any of the parts or provisions of this section or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of this section, including the application of such part or provisions to persons or circumstances other than those to which it is held invalid, shall not be affected thereby and shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this section are severable.

Section 2. That Section 1 of this ordinance shall have no retroactive effect in regard to pre-eviction notices provided to tenants prior to the date of publication.

Section 3. That Section 1 of this ordinance shall be effective from the date of publication through August 31, 2026, and that the 30-day time periods for pre-eviction notices shall be reinstated effective September 1, 2026, absent further council action.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Osman, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury (8)

Noes: Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Shaffer, Palmisano (5)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

Vetoed by Mayor Jacob Frey 4/30/2026.

(See Unfinished Business for consideration of the Mayoral veto at the May 7, 2026, meeting)

On behalf of the Committee of the Whole, Chowdhury offered Resolution 2026R-147 accepting donation from Refugees International for Jacob Frey, Jennifer Schmid, and Ibrahim Jedal to attend the 2026 Refugees International Annual meeting in Washington, D.C., on April 28, 2026.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-147**

**By Palmisano**

**Accepting donation from Refugees International for airfare and hotel accommodations to Washington, D.C., for Jacob Frey, Jennifer Schmid, and Ibrahim Jedal.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis is generally authorized to accept donations of real and personal property pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Section 465.03 et seq. for the benefit of its citizens and is specifically authorized to accept gifts; and

Whereas, the following persons and entities have offered to contribute the gifts set forth below to the city:  
Name of Donor – Refugees International.

Gift – Gift acceptance from Refugees International to Washington, D.C., for Jacob Frey, Jennifer Schmid, and Ibrahim Jedal. The purpose of this trip is to accept the McCall-Pierpaoli Humanitarian Award.

Whereas, no goods or services were provided in exchange for said donation; and

Whereas, all such donations have been contributed to assist the city in accepting the honor of the McCall-Pierpaoli Humanitarian Award from Refugees International, as allowed by law; and

Whereas, the City Council finds that it is appropriate to accept the donation offered;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the donations described above are hereby accepted and shall be used for accommodations to Washington, D.C., for Jacob Frey, Jennifer Schmid, and Ibrahim Jedal.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Committee of the Whole, Chowdhury offered Resolution 2026R-148 accepting donation from Vera Institute of Justice for Michelle Rivero, Office of Immigrant and Refugee Affairs Director, to attend the Uniting for Universal Representation in Denver, Colorado, from May 7-8, 2026.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-148**

**By Chowdhury**

**Accepting donation from Vera Institute of Justice for Michelle Rivero, Office of Immigrant and Refugee Affairs Director, to attend the Uniting for Universal Representation Convening in Denver, Colorado, from May 7-8, 2026.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis is generally authorized to accept donations of real and personal property pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Section 465.03 et seq. for the benefit of its citizens and is specifically authorized to accept gifts; and

Whereas, the following persons and entities have offered to contribute the gifts set forth below to the city:

Name of Donor – Vera Institute of Justice.

Gift – Registration and lodging.

Restrictions on use – for Michelle Rivero to attend the Uniting for Universal Representation Convening in Denver, CO, May 7-8, 2026.

Whereas, no goods or services were provided in exchange for said donation; and

Whereas, all such donations have been contributed to assist the city in Michelle Rivero’s attendance in the Uniting for Universal Representation Convening, as allowed by law; and

Whereas, the City Council finds that it is appropriate to accept the donation offered;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the donations described above are hereby accepted and shall be used for Michelle Rivero’s registration and lodging to attend the Uniting for Universal Representation Convening from May 7-8, 2026.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**VETOED BY THE MAYOR**

On behalf of the Committee of the Whole, Chowdhury offered Ordinance 2026-006 amending Title 11, Chapter 223 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Health and Sanitation: Drugs, decriminalizing the possession of drug paraphernalia.

Whiting moved to amend the definition of “Drug paraphernalia” contained in Section 223.210 of the ordinance to read as follows:

~~Drug paraphernalia. (a) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (b), "drug paraphernalia" means all equipment, products, and materials of any kind, except those items used in conjunction with permitted uses of controlled substances under this chapter or the Uniform Controlled Substances Act, which are knowingly or intentionally used primarily in (1) manufacturing a controlled substance, (2) injecting, ingesting, inhaling, or otherwise introducing into the human body a controlled substance, or (3) enhancing the effect of a controlled substance.~~

~~(b) "Drug paraphernalia" does not include the possession, manufacture, delivery, or sale of: (1) hypodermic syringes or needles or any instrument or implement which can be adapted for subcutaneous injections; or (2) products that detect the presence of fentanyl or a fentanyl analog in a controlled substance.~~

The term "drug paraphernalia" means all equipment, products, and materials of any kind which are used, intended for use, or designed for use, in manufacturing, injecting, ingesting, inhaling, or otherwise introducing into the human body a controlled substance, other than marijuana, in violation of Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 152. The term includes, but is not limited to:

(1) Dilutants and adulterants, such as quinine hydrochloride, mannitol, mannite, dextrose and lactose, used, intended for use, or designed for use in cutting controlled substances;

(2) Hypodermic syringes, needles, and other objects used, intended for use, and designed for use in parenterally injecting controlled substances into the human body; and

(3) Objects used, intended for use, or designed for use in ingesting, inhaling, or otherwise introducing cocaine into the human body, which shall include but not be limited to the following:

(a) Metal, wooden, acrylic, glass, stone, plastic, or ceramic pipes with or without screens, permanent screens, or punctured metal bowls;

(b) Water pipes;

(c) Carburetion tubes and devices;

(d) Smoking and carburetion masks;

(e) Miniature cocaine spoons, and cocaine vials;

(f) Chamber pipes;

(g) Carburetor pipes;

(h) Electric pipes;

(i) Ice pipes or chillers.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Shaffer, Whiting, Palmisano (6)

Noes: Payne, Wonsley, Osman, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Chowdhury (7)

Absent: (0)

Failed.

On roll call, the result of the main motion was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury (7)

Noes: Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Palmisano (6)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized ordinance.

**ORDINANCE 2026-006**  
**By Chavez, Payne, Wonsley, Stevenson, and Chowdhury**  
**Intro & 1st Reading: 2/19/2026**  
**Ref to: COW**  
**2nd Reading: 4/23/2026**

**Amending Title 11, Chapter 223 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Health and Sanitation: Drugs.**

The City Council of the City of Minneapolis do ordain as follows:

Section 1. That Article 1 of the above-entitled ordinance be and is hereby repealed.

**ARTICLE I. GENERALLY**

~~**223.10. Possession of injection implements.** No person, except dealers in surgical instruments, apothecaries, physicians, dentists, veterinarians, nurses, attendants and interns of hospitals, sanatoriums or any other institution in which persons are treated for disability or disease, shall at any time have or possess any hypodermic syringe or needle or any instrument or implement adapted for subcutaneous injections, unless such possession is authorized by the certificate of a physician issued within a period of six (6) months prior to any time of such possession; provided, that such certificate shall not be required of any person under a physician's care for a diabetic condition.~~

~~**223.20. Possession of opium smoking paraphernalia.** No person shall use, possess or have under his control for use any stem, bowl, lamp, yen hock or other opium-smoking paraphernalia or accessories used for the smoking or inhalation of opium.~~

Section 2. That the definition for “drug” contained in Section 223.60 be amended to read as follows:

**223.60. Definitions.**

~~Drug means: (a) Barbital and any derivative thereof; diethylbarbituric acid; any alkyl, aryl, metallic or halogenated derivative of barbituric acid; veronal (barbitone); proponal, ipral, dial; neonal (soneryl);~~

~~sandoptal; amytal, phenobarbital (luminal); phandorn; noctal, allonal (which contains allylisopropylbarbituric acid in combination with amidopyrine), medinal; any preparation, mixture or other substance containing any of the foregoing substances.~~

~~(b) Any drug consisting of amphetamine, desoxyephedrine (methamphetamine), mephentermine, pipradol, phenmetrazine, methylphenidate or any salt, mixture or optical isomer thereof which drug, salt, mixture or optical isomer has a stimulating effect on the central nervous system, except preparations for use in the nose and unfit for internal use.~~

~~(c) Chloral hydrate (Chloral); chlordiazepoxide and its salts (Librium); diazepam (Valium); ethchlorvynol (Placidyl); ethinamate (Valmid); glutethimide (Doriden); meprobamate (Miltown, Equanil, Meprospan, Mepro tabs); methyprylon (Noludar); paraldehyde.~~

~~(d) For the purposes of this article, any controlled substance as defined in Minnesota Statutes, Section 152.02, subdivisions 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, excluding marijuana, which are specifically incorporated herein by reference.~~

Section 3. That the definition for “drug paraphernalia” contained in Section 223.210 be amended to read as follows:

**223.210. Definitions.**

*Drug paraphernalia.* The term "drug paraphernalia" means all equipment, products, and materials of any kind which are used, intended for use, or designed for use, in manufacturing, injecting, ingesting, inhaling, or otherwise introducing into the human body a controlled substance in violation of Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 152. The term includes, but is not limited to:

~~(1) Dilutants and adulterants, such as quinine hydrochloride, mannitol, mannite, dextrose and lactose, used, intended for use, or designed for use in cutting controlled substances;~~

~~2) Separation gins and sifters used, intended for use, or designed for use in removing twigs and seeds from, or in otherwise cleaning or refining, marijuana;~~

~~(3) Hypodermic syringes, needles, and other objects used, intended for use, and designed for use in parenterally injecting controlled substances into the human body; and~~

~~(4) Objects used, intended for use, or designed for use in ingesting, inhaling, or otherwise introducing marijuana, cocaine, hashish, or hashish oil into the human body, which shall include but not be limited to the following:~~

~~(a) Metal, wooden, acrylic, glass, stone, plastic, or ceramic pipes with or without screens, permanent screens, hashish heads, or punctured metal bowls;~~

~~(b) Water pipes;~~

~~(c) Carburetion tubes and devices;~~

~~(d) Smoking and carburetion masks;~~

~~(e) Roach clips, meaning objects used to hold burning material, such as a marijuana cigarette, which has become too small or too short to be held in the hand;~~

~~(f) Miniature cocaine spoons, and cocaine vials;~~

~~(g) Chamber pipes;~~

~~(h) Carburetor pipes;~~

~~(i) Electric pipes;~~

~~(j) Chillums;~~

~~(k) Bongs;~~

~~(l) Reserved;~~

~~(m) Ice pipes or chillers.~~

(a) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (b), "drug paraphernalia" means all equipment, products, and materials of any kind, except those items used in conjunction with permitted uses of controlled substances under this chapter or the Uniform Controlled Substances Act, which are knowingly or intentionally used primarily in (1) manufacturing a controlled substance, (2) injecting, ingesting, inhaling, or otherwise introducing into the human body a controlled substance, or (3) enhancing the effect of a controlled substance.

(b) "Drug paraphernalia" does not include the possession, manufacture, delivery, or sale of: (1) hypodermic syringes or needles or any instrument or implement which can be adapted for subcutaneous injections; or (2) products that detect the presence of fentanyl or a fentanyl analog in a controlled substance.

Section 4. That Sections 223.230 and 223.235 of the above-entitled ordinance be and are hereby repealed.

~~**223.230. Possession of drug paraphernalia prohibited.** It is unlawful for any person knowingly or intentionally to use or to possess drug paraphernalia. Any violation of this section is a petty misdemeanor.~~

~~**223.235. Possession of drug paraphernalia in a public place prohibited.** It is unlawful for any person knowingly or intentionally to use or to possess drug paraphernalia in a public place. As used in this section, "public place" shall include streets, alleys and sidewalks dedicated to public use, and shall also include such parts of buildings and other premises whether publicly or privately owned which are used by the general public or to which the general public is invited commercially, for a fee, or otherwise, or in or on which the general public is permitted without specific invitation. Any violation of this section is a misdemeanor.~~

Section 5. That Section 223.240 of the above-entitled ordinance be amended to read as follows:

**223.240. Manufacture or delivery of drug paraphernalia prohibited.** It is unlawful for any person eighteen (18) years of age or older knowingly or intentionally to deliver drug paraphernalia to a person under eighteen (18) years of age who is at least three (3) years younger or to knowingly or intentionally ~~to possess~~ or manufacture drug paraphernalia for delivery. Any violation of this section is a misdemeanor.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury (7)

Noes: Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Palmisano (6)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

Vetoed by Mayor Jacob Frey 4/30/2026.

(See Unfinished Business for consideration of the Mayoral veto at the May 7, 2026, meeting)

On behalf of the Committee of the Whole, Chowdhury offered Resolution 2026R-149 amending the 2026 General Appropriation Resolution to support community mental health and wellbeing services at the South Minneapolis Community Safety Center.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-149**

**By Wonsley**

**Amending The 2026 General Appropriation Resolution.**

Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the above-entitled resolution, as amended, be further amended in the restricted Public Safety Aid balance of the General Fund (00100) to decrease the budget for the Community Safety Center Pilots (8200100) by \$500,000 one-time, and increase the Health Department budget (8600130) for the Nontraditional and Culturally Specific Community Mental Health and Wellbeing RFP and subsequent contract by \$500,000 one-time.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Committee of the Whole, Chowdhury offered Resolution 2026R-150 accepting donation from Local Progress for Council President Elliott Payne to attend the Ford Foundation panel discussion in New York, New York, from April 27-29, 2026.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-150**

**By Payne**

**Accepting donation from Local Progress for Council President Elliott Payne to attend the Ford Foundation panel discussion in New York, New York, from April 27-29, 2026.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis is generally authorized to accept donations of real and personal property pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Section 465.03 et seq. for the benefit of its citizens and is specifically authorized to accept gifts; and

Whereas, the following persons and entities have offered to contribute the gifts set forth below to the city:  
Name of Donor – Local Progress.  
Gift – Travel and lodging for the Council President.

Whereas, no goods or services were provided in exchange for said donation; and

Whereas, all such donations have been contributed to assist the city in sending the Council President to the Ford Foundation panel discussion, as allowed by law; and

Whereas, the City Council finds that it is appropriate to accept the donation offered;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the donations described above are hereby accepted and shall be used for Council President's attendance at the Ford Foundation panel discussion in New York, New York, from April 27-29, 2026.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Committee of the Whole, Chowdhury offered Resolution 2026R-151 accepting donation from Community Solutions for Libby Murphy, Senior Policy Aide, to attend the 2026 Large City Leadership Convening hosted by Community Solutions in Denver, Colorado.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-151**

**By Chavez**

**Accepting donation from Community Solutions for Libby Murphy, Senior Policy Aide, to attend the 2026 Large City Leadership Convening hosted by Community Solutions in Denver, Colorado.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis is generally authorized to accept donations of real and personal property pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Section 465.03 et seq. for the benefit of its citizens and is specifically authorized to accept gifts; and

Whereas, the following persons and entities have offered to contribute the gifts set forth below to the city:  
Name of Donor – Community Solutions.  
Gift –Transportation, lodging, and meals.  
Restrictions on use – For Libby Murphy, Senior Policy Aide, to participate in the 2026 Large City Leadership Convening.

Whereas, no goods or services were provided in exchange for said donation; and

Whereas, all such donations have been contributed to assist the city in sending Libby Murphy to participate in the Large City Leadership Convening as allowed by law; and

Whereas, the City Council finds that it is appropriate to accept the donation offered;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the donations described above are hereby accepted and shall be used Libby Murphy, Senior Policy Aide, to attend the 2026 Large City Leadership Convening hosted by Community Solutions in Denver, Colorado.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0287**

Pursuant to City Charter Section 7.1(h)(2), the Minneapolis City Council, in support of its official legislative, policymaking, and oversight functions, does hereby request from the Mayor's Office the following information and data concerning Minneapolis Police Department spending for FY2023 to FY2025:

1. A detailed description of how all of the budget overages in the department were spent in 2025. For each area where money was overspent, detail whether or not this was an external service to residents, or money spent internally in the department. This includes, but is not limited to,
  - a. Personnel and overtime
  - b. Contractual Services
  - c. Fringe expenses
  - d. Materials
  - e. Capital Expenses
  - f. Fleet Services
2. Provide the total amount of funds spent by MPD on its motorcycle unit. Include
  - a. What year the motorcycles were purchased
  - b. How the purchase was approved
  - c. The annual cost of maintenance to the unit
  - d. The total cost of training for the unit
3. Provide the total amount of money spent on department vehicles acquired from FY2023 to FY2025
  - a. This should include any vehicle upgrades that were not required for ongoing maintenance for the vehicle to operate
4. Provide the total amount of money spent in FY2025 on travel and lodging for MPD. This should include, but is not limited to
  - a. Conferences in and out of state
  - b. Trainings in other jurisdictions
5. Detail the protocol and criteria for implementing critical staffing overtime and what personnel or policy gives approval for critical staffing overtime.
6. Detail the total increase in fringe benefits for critical staffing overtime in FY2025.
7. Give the average response time of MPD to calls for emergency assistance during critical staffing overtime and a comparison to response times when critical staffing overtime is not in place.
  - a. Provide how many calls MPD failed to respond to during critical staffing overtime in FY2025 compared to when critical staffing overtime was not in place

- b. Provide the 2025 average call response time and compare it to response times in 2022, 2023, and 2024.
8. Outline the total amount of dollars spent on contractual services. Include the name of each vendor, services provided by that vendor, and the total dollar amount of that contract.
9. Detail the department's policy to ensure compliance with both the city's financial policies and MPD's internal accounting and financial policies. This should include
  - a. An outline of how the department ensures financial policy compliance
  - b. Details of how accounting codes align accurately with the purpose of each fund
  - c. A description of how MPD ensures they are spending from the appropriate fund
  - d. A detailed description of how expenses are approved, and who has authority to sign off on new expenses.

The requested information and data shall be reported to the City Council through the Committee of the Whole, no later than May 19, 2026, and any supporting materials will be made available through the City's Legislative Information Management System (LIMS).

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**The ENTERPRISE & LABOR RELATIONS COMMITTEE submitted the following report:**

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0288**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby authorizes a contract with Claims Management Resources, Inc., in the annual amount of \$350,000, for a three (3) year period starting May 4, 2026, through May 3, 2029, with the option to renew for two (2) additional years at the City's sole discretion, to perform as-needed subrogation and related services on behalf of the City.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0289**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby authorizes customization of the City’s Terms and Conditions for Professional Services Contracts, to enter into a contract with Paymentus Corporation, in the amount of \$985,000, for a term of seven (7) years starting May 1, 2026, through April 30, 2033, with an option to extend for three (3) additional one-year terms, or one (1) additional three-year term, to provide license(s), professional services, and maintenance & support for an Enterprise Payment Platform system, including, pursuant to the parties’ negotiations, modifications to Insurance, Indemnity and Hold Harmless, Default Remedies, and Termination sections.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0290**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby authorizes an extension to contract COM0006473 with Automated Logic Minnesota through April 30, 2027, for Building Automation System (BAS) service and maintenance.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0291**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby authorizes an extension to contract COM0006474 with NAC Building Technologies through April 30, 2027, for Building Automation System (BAS) service and maintenance.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0292**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby authorizes an increase to contract C-42145 with West Publishing, in the amount of \$90,000 for a total amount not to exceed \$1,090,000, to ensure adequate funds to continue online legal research services through the end of the current contract term on December 31, 2026.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0293**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby authorizes a contract with HDR Architectural, Inc. in the amount not to exceed \$188,877, for consulting services to conduct a comprehensive feasibility study for the North Minneapolis Community Safety Center.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0294**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby authorizes an increase to contract COM0004187 with Wold Architects, in the amount of \$23,194 for a total amount not to exceed \$1,949,893, for additional in-scope architectural and engineering design services for Council Chambers broadcasting technology room for Phase 3 of the City Hall Office Space Renovation Project.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0295**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby accepts the low bid of Restoration and Constructions Services, LLC, submitted on Event No. 4078, in the amount of \$180,248.60, to provide all materials, labor, equipment, and incidentals necessary for the Prospect Park Water Tower Restoration project, and authorizes a contract for the project, all in accordance with City specifications.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**The PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY & EQUITY COMMITTEE submitted the following report:**

Chavez moved to adopt Ordinance 2026-007 amending Title 12, Chapter 244 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Housing: Maintenance Code, prohibiting inquiries into immigration status during the rental screening process and creating protections for tenants against retaliation based on immigration status.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized ordinance.

**ORDINANCE 2026-007**  
**By Chavez, Warren, Osman, Chughtai, and Chowdhury**  
**Intro & 1st Reading: 3/5/2026**  
**Ref to: PHSE**  
**2nd Reading: 4/23/2026**

**Amending Title 12, Chapter 244 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Housing: Maintenance Code.**

The City Council of the City of Minneapolis do ordain as follows:

Section 1. That Section 244.2030 of the above-entitled ordinance be amended to read as follows:

**244.2030. – Applicant screening criteria for prospective tenants.** (a) *Findings and purpose.* As a home rule charter city, Minneapolis has broad authority through its police powers to enact regulation to further the public health, safety, and general welfare:

(1) The city has adopted policies that identify support for renters and ending racial disparities as priorities, including Minneapolis 2040, the Unified Housing Policy, the Renter-First Policy, and the Strategic and Racial Equity Action Plan.

(2) The persistent low vacancy rate, increases in rent, and stagnant wages for renters have made it difficult for renters to access safe, affordable housing in Minneapolis.

(3) The vacancy rate in Minneapolis has remained below five (5) percent since 2015 and is even lower for apartment units under one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) in monthly rent.

(4) Since 2000, housing costs for renters have increased by seventeen (17) percent, while renter income has increased by only four (4) percent.

(5) Renters comprise the majority of households in Minneapolis, growing by nearly fourteen thousand (14,000) households (a seventeen (17) percent increase) between 2000 and 2017.

(6) Renters are more likely to be low-income than homeowners and households of color are more likely to rent than white households.

(7) Of the more than eighty-nine thousand (89,000) renter households in Minneapolis, nearly fifty thousand (50,000) earned less than sixty (60) percent of area median income in 2016.

(8) Three (3) out of four (4) low income households (earning less than fifty (50) percent of area median income) in Minneapolis are housing cost-burdened, paying more than thirty (30) percent of their income for rent.

(9) As many as one-third (1/3) of adults in the United States have a criminal history.

(10) Access to housing is one (1) of the key factors to prevent recidivism.

(11) Across the country, African Americans and Hispanics are incarcerated at much higher rates than their share of the population.

(12) As of January 2019, African Americans make up thirty-four and one-half (34.5) percent of the Minnesota prison population, while comprising only six and one-half (6.5) percent of the state's population as a whole (as of 2017).

(13) American Indians make up nine (9) percent of the Minnesota prison population, while comprising only one and one-tenth (1.1) percent of the population as a whole (as of 2017).

(14) The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development issued guidance in April 2016 regarding the Fair Housing Act and the use of criminal history in tenant screening finding that criminal history based restrictions violate the Fair Housing Act if "without justification, their burden falls more often on renters or other housing market participants of one (1) race or national origin over another."

(15) The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development identified individualized assessments as the preferred mechanism for housing providers to fairly screen individuals with criminal history barriers.

(16) Sociological research does not support the idea that a criminal record provides accurate information about the potential for housing success.

(17) Studies demonstrate the risk of a new offense by someone who has committed an offense in the past declines over time.

(18) With an eviction on record, it becomes harder to secure safe, stable housing.

(19) Evictions disproportionately affect the city's lowest income residents in the most racially diverse communities.

(20) About sixty-four million (64,000,000) people in the United States have no credit history or lack sufficient credit history to generate a credit score with the major credit bureaus.

(21) A 2013 Federal Trade Commission Study found that one (1) in five (5) consumers had an error on at least one (1) of their three (3) credit reports.

(22) Numerous studies find that credit scoring systems have disparate impacts on communities of color.

(23) Credit scores by themselves typically are not based upon the applicant's history of rent payment and do not necessarily predict the likelihood of paying rent on a regular and timely basis.

(24) Increasing housing access and promoting housing stability directly furthers the health, safety, and welfare of the city's residents.

(25) The city will continue to monitor and improve this ordinance based on new information, including tenant and property owner experiences, research and market conditions, as it becomes available.

(b) *Screening criteria made available.* Before accepting applications for rental housing, a landlord must make readily available to all applicants the landlord's rental screening criteria in as much detail as is feasible.

(c) *Inclusive screening criteria.* A landlord must either conduct the individualized assessment required by subdivision (d) below, or apply inclusive screening criteria that do not reject an applicant for any of the following reasons:

(1) *Criminal history.*

- a. Any arrest in an inactive case that did not result in conviction;
- b. Participation in or completion of a diversion or a deferral of judgment program, including stays of adjudication and continuances for dismissal or without prosecution;
- c. Any conviction that has been vacated or expunged, or for which the applicant received a stay of imposition of sentencing and complied with the terms of the stay;
- d. Any conviction for a crime that is no longer illegal in the state of Minnesota;
- e. Any conviction or any other determination or adjudication in the juvenile justice system;
- f. Any conviction for misdemeanor offenses for which the dates of sentencing are older than three (3) years;
- g. Any criminal conviction for felony offenses for which the dates of sentencing are older than seven (7) years; however, a landlord may deny an applicant who has been convicted of the illegal manufacture or distribution of a controlled substance as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802) or for those same offenses that mandate denial of tenancy in federally assisted housing subject to federal regulations, including but not limited to when any member of the household is subject to a lifetime sex offender registration requirement under a state sex offender registration program; or
- h. Any criminal conviction for the following felony offenses for which the dates of sentencing are older than ten (10) years:
  1. First-degree assault (Minnesota Statutes Section 609.221)~~);~~
  2. First-degree arson (Minnesota Statutes Section 609.561)~~);~~
  3. Aggravated robbery (Minnesota Statutes Section 609.245)~~);~~
  4. First-degree murder (Minnesota Statutes Section 609.185)~~);~~
  5. Second-degree murder (Minnesota Statutes Section 609.19)~~);~~
  6. Third-degree murder (Minnesota Statutes Section 609.195)~~);~~
  7. First-degree manslaughter (Minnesota Statutes Section 609.20, subd. 1, 2, and 5)~~);~~
  8. Kidnapping (Minnesota Statutes Section 609.25, subd. 2(2))~~);~~ or
  9. First-degree criminal sexual conduct (Minnesota Statutes Section 609.342, subd. 1(b) and (g)).

(2) *Credit history.*

a. Credit score by itself, although information within a credit report directly relevant to fitness as a tenant can be relied upon by a landlord; or

b. Insufficient credit history, unless the applicant in bad faith withholds credit history information that might otherwise form a basis for denial.

*(3) Rental history.*

a. An eviction action pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Chapter 504B if the action:

1. Was dismissed or resulted in a judgment for the applicant before the applicant submits the application;

2. Was settled with no judgment or writ of recovery issued that was entered one (1) or more years before the applicant submits the application;

3. Resulted in a judgment against the applicant that was entered three (3) or more years before the applicant submits the application; or

b. Insufficient rental history, unless the applicant in bad faith withholds rental history information that might otherwise form a basis for denial.

c. If a landlord uses a minimum income test requiring an income equal to three (3) times the rent or higher, the landlord must allow an exception to that test where the applicant can demonstrate a history of successful rent payment with an income less than three (3) times the rent.

*(d) Individualized assessment.* A landlord that applies screening criteria that are more prohibitive than the inclusive screening criteria set forth in subdivision (c) must conduct an individualized assessment for any basis upon which the landlord intends to deny an application. In evaluating an applicant using individualized assessment, a landlord must accept and consider all supplemental evidence provided with a completed application to explain, justify, or negate the relevance of potentially negative information revealed by screening. Supplemental evidence refers to any written information submitted by the applicant in addition to that provided on the landlord's form application that the applicant believes to be relevant to the applicant's predicted performance as a tenant. When evaluating the effect of supplemental evidence on a landlord's decision of acceptance or denial of an applicant, the landlord must also consider:

(1) The nature and severity of the incidents that would lead to a denial;

(2) The number and type of the incidents;

(3) The time that has elapsed since the date the incidents occurred; and

(4) The age of the individual at the time the incidents occurred.

*(e) Prohibition on immigration status inquiry.*

(1) No owner, operator, landlord, or their agent may:

a. Make any inquiry regarding or based on the immigration or citizenship status of an applicant, tenant, occupant, or prospective occupant of a rental dwelling.

b. Require that any tenant, prospective tenant, occupant, or prospective occupant of the rental dwelling disclose or make any statement, representation, or certification concerning his or her immigration or citizenship status.

c. Deny a rental application solely because the applicant provided an individual taxpayer identification number.

(2) Exceptions. This subsection does not prohibit a person from doing any of the following:

a. Complying with any legal obligation related to determining immigration status under state or federal law, including, but not limited to, any legal obligation under any federal government program that provides for rent limitations or rental assistance to a qualified tenant, or a subpoena, warrant, or other order issued by a court;

b. Requesting information or documentation necessary to determine or verify the financial qualifications of a prospective tenant, or to determine or verify the identity of a prospective tenant or prospective occupant; or

c. Requesting immigration status information to connect a tenant, prospective tenant, occupant, or prospective occupant with supportive services or programs where immigration status is an eligibility criteria.

(3) Retaliation prohibited. No owner, operator, landlord, or their agent may increase rent, decrease services, alter an existing rental agreement, file a legal action against a tenant or applicant, contact federal or state law enforcement related to a tenant's or applicant's immigration status, or seek to recover possession or threaten any such action in whole or in part in retaliation after a tenant or applicant has:

a. Reported a code violation, including a violation of this section, to a government agency, elected official, or other government official responsible for the enforcement of a building, housing, health, safety or other code;

b. Reported a building, housing, health, or safety code violation, or a violation of this section, to a community organization or the news media;

c. Sought the assistance of a community organization or others, including but not limited to a media or news organization, for assistance with a code violation or a violation of this section;

d. Made a request that the owner, operator, landlord, or their agent of a residential building make repairs to the premises as required by this chapter, or remedy a building or health code, other regulation, or uphold portions of the residential rental agreement;

e. Joined or attempted to join a tenant association or similar organization; or

f. Testified in any court or administrative proceeding concerning the condition of the premises or exercised any right or remedy provided by law.

(e f) Denials.

(1) *Inclusive screening criteria.* If a denial is based on the inclusive screening criteria of subdivision (c), a landlord shall notify the applicant in writing within fourteen (14) days of rejecting a rental application and identify the specific criteria the applicant failed to meet. Before denying an applicant for criminal history, a landlord must consider supplemental evidence provided by the applicant if provided at the time of application submittal.

(2) *Individualized assessment.* After performing an individualized assessment pursuant to subdivision (d), a landlord may deny an applicant if the denial is non-discriminatory in accordance with the Fair Housing Act. A landlord shall notify the applicant within fourteen (14) days of rejecting a rental application and such notification shall include the following:

a. The basis for denial; and

b. The supplemental evidence, if any, that the landlord considered and an explanation of the reasons that the supplemental evidence did not adequately compensate for the factors that informed the landlord's decision to reject the application.

The notification shall be in writing and retained by the landlord for a period of two (2) years. A landlord shall provide a copy to the department of regulatory services upon request of the director of regulatory services or the director's designee.

(f g) *Exception.* Whenever local, state, or federal funding or loan requirements for tenant screening conflict with any portion of this section 244.2030, the funding or loan requirements will take precedence over only those portions in conflict.

(g h) *Enforcement.* In addition to any other remedy available at equity or law, failure to comply with the provisions of this section 244.2030 may result in criminal prosecution, adverse rental license action, and/or administrative fines, restrictions, or penalties as provided in chapter 2 of this Code. A notice of violation, as described in section 244.150, shall not be required in order to establish or enforce a violation of this section. Any tenant, prospective tenant, occupant, or prospective occupant aggrieved by noncompliance of an operator, landlord, or their agent ~~a landlord's noncompliance~~ with this section may seek redress in any court of competent jurisdiction to the extent permitted by law.

(h i) *Severability.* If any of the parts or provisions of this section or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of this section, including the application of such part or provisions to persons or circumstances other than those to which it is held invalid, shall not be affected thereby and shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this section are severable.

~~(i) *Effective date.* This section shall become effective June 1, 2020; except for property owners with fifteen (15) dwelling units or less, for which the effective date shall be December 1, 2020. Prior to the effective date, the city will convene a cross-sector implementation committee to create and execute an implementation plan. The committee will include representatives from legal and tenant advocacy organizations, the multi-housing industry, and city departments. The committee will determine best practices and policies for ordinance implementation, including the creation of relevant screening templates for legal screening options including individualized assessment, and create an outreach and engagement plan.~~

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Public Health, Safety & Equity Committee, Chavez offered Ordinance 2026-008 amending Title 11 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Health and Sanitation, adding a new Chapter 237 establishing provisions of a temporary homeless encampment reporting policy.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized ordinance.

**ORDINANCE 2026-008**  
**By Chowdhury, Chavez, and Chughtai**  
**Intro & 1st Reading: 3/26/2026**  
**Ref to: PHSE**  
**2nd Reading: 4/23/2026**

**Amending Title 11 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Health and Sanitation, by adding a new Chapter 237 relating to Temporary Homeless Encampments.**

The City Council of the City of Minneapolis do ordain as follows:

Section 1. That the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances be amended by adding thereto a new Chapter 237 to read as follows:

**CHAPTER 237. - TEMPORARY HOMELESS ENCAMPMENTS**

**237.10. Definitions.** The following words and phrases used in this chapter shall have the meanings set forth below:

*Affected individual* means any individual perceived as experiencing homelessness who is residing in an encampment.

*Encampment* means one (1) or more tents, tent-like structures, or temporary structures that are located outdoors within Minneapolis, which appear to be occupied by individuals perceived as experiencing homelessness for the purpose of residing therein.

*Non-shelter placement* means a temporary, indoor placement, including a hospital, detox, or mental health hold.

*Personal property* means any tangible item that has apparent use in its present condition. Examples include, but are not limited to, identification, personal papers and documents, tents, bicycles, radios and other electronic equipment, eyeglasses, prescription medications, photographs, jewelry, clothing, crutches, and wheelchairs. Personal property does not include any item that is illegal for the owner to possess, or is a danger to health, safety, or other property, or is reasonably expected to become so during its storage, such as illegal drugs and associated paraphernalia, weapons as defined by Section 393.10(f) of this Code, chemicals, or perishable food.

*Removal* means an action or directive by or on behalf of the city that results in an individual perceived as experiencing homelessness temporarily or permanently leaving an encampment or the clearing by or on behalf of the city of personal property at an encampment.

*Robot* means an artificial object or system that senses, processes, and acts, to at least some degree, and is operated either autonomously by computers or by an individual remotely. The term does not include unmanned aerial vehicles.

*Shelter* means a building, or individual units within a building, in Minneapolis used to provide free temporary emergency housing and sanitation to individuals, including Minneapolis residents.

**237.20. Reporting.** (a) No later than one (1) month after the effective date of this section, and quarterly thereafter, city staff shall submit to the city council and the mayor, and publish on the department's website in a format accessible pursuant to the City's language access plan, a report on removals conducted during the prior reporting period. The report shall include a table in which each row references a unique occurrence of a removal. Each such row shall include the following information set forth in separate columns:

- (1) A unique identification number for each removal.
- (2) The council ward where the removal occurred.
- (3) Whether or not a removal has previously been conducted at that location and within a one (1) mile radius of the location within the last year.
- (4) The duration of an encampment at that location.
- (5) The source of initiation for the removal, including but not limited to requests by a city department, office, organization, or 311 or 911 report.
- (6) The date and time of the start and end of the removal.
- (7) The number of service providers contacted by city department staff and number of service providers servicing residents for the duration that the encampment existed.
- (8) The number of service providers contacted by city department staff and number of service providers present at the time of the encampment clearing.
- (9) The number of departmental personnel present and total hours spent on the removal by personnel, disaggregated by department.
- (10) The maximum and minimum number of affected individuals throughout the duration of the encampment and at the encampment at the start of removal.
- (11) The cost of removals, including, but not limited to, the costs associated with the following information:
  - a. The number of departmental staff present during and before a removal, disaggregated by the department.

- b. The total time departmental staff was present before and during a removal.
- c. Any overtime hours used to conduct a removal, including a methodology on how overtime hours were calculated.
- d. Any additional costs such as the use of any specialized equipment during a removal, including unmanned aerial vehicles, robots, and surveillance cameras.
- e. Any additional costs associated with site security after a removal including fencing, rip rap, rubble dumping, remediation, and/or other measures.
- f. Any cost, and/or associated cost, of any service providers under contract with the city to service an encampment or be present at time of clearing.

(12) Details of belongings that were seized and locations to which they were sent or if they were discarded.

(13) A clear timeline of the encampment removal, including the following:

- a. The date and time when affected individuals were made aware of the encampment removal.
- b. The date and time when city department staff were made aware of the encampment removal.
- c. The date and time when non-profit service providers were notified of the encampment removal. If service providers were notified, include details on which service providers were notified, and which ones were present at the time of the encampment removal.
- d. The date and time when contact was made with community organizations regarding the encampment removal.

(14) The number of times the Homeless Response Team has:

- a. Visited the encampment prior to closure and the purpose of the visit.
- b. Engaged directly with affected individuals prior to the encampment closure.

(15) The number of affected individuals on the date of the removal.

(16) The number of available shelter beds at the time of removal, separated by shelter, and whether an affected individual could book a shelter bed at the time of the closure.

(17) The number of affected individuals who were offered shelter beds on the date of removal.

(18) The number of affected individuals who accepted shelter beds on the date of the removal, separated by shelter.

(19) The number of affected individuals who were offered direct permanent housing placements on the date of the removal.

(20) The number of affected individuals who were offered supportive housing on the date of the removal.

(21) The number of affected individuals who were assessed for housing throughout the duration of the encampment.

(22) The number of affected individuals who are in the process of receiving housing placement throughout the duration of the encampment.

(23) The number of affected individuals who were offered placement in non-shelter placement on the date of the removal.

(24) The number of affected individuals who entered non-shelter placement on the date of the removal.

(25) The number of affected individuals who entered treatment and/or detox throughout the duration of the encampment.

(26) The number of affected individuals who were arrested on the date of the removal.

(27) A detailed account of 311 and 911 calls related to encampments, disaggregated by incident code and problem nature code on unique incidents reported, including but not limited to the following information:

a. The types of incidents reported, including but not limited to gun violence, homicide, assault, nuisance, and other relevant categories.

b. The location of the encampment that is related to each 311 and 911 call.

(28) The status of police investigations related to removals, including the following information:

a. Whether the Minneapolis Police Department (MPD) followed up on each reported incident.

b. The current status of each investigation, including any resolutions or ongoing investigations.

(b) The reports required by this section shall include a data dictionary.

(c) Except as otherwise expressly provided in this section, no report required by this section shall contain personally identifiable information.

(d) No information that is otherwise required to be reported pursuant to this section shall be reported in a manner that would violate any applicable provision of federal, state, or local law relating to the data privacy and classification of information, including the provisions of the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

On behalf of the Public Health, Safety & Equity Committee, Chavez offered Ordinance 2026-009 amending Title 12, Chapter 244 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Housing: Maintenance Code, removing provisions mandating regular reporting related to encampment removals.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized ordinance.

**ORDINANCE 2026-009**  
**By Chowdhury, Chavez, and Chughtai**

**Amending Title 12, Chapter 244 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Housing: Maintenance Code.**

The City Council of the City of Minneapolis do ordain as follows:

Section 1. That Section 244.60 of the above-entitled ordinance be amended to read as follows:

**244.60. Temporary housing prohibited; exception.** (a) Unless otherwise provided in this section, no camp car, house trailer, automobile, tent or other temporary structure may be parked or placed upon any public street or on any public or private premises or street in the city and used as a shelter or enclosure of persons and their effects for the purpose of living therein.

(b) The director of regulatory services may issue a permit to allow for temporary housing when a specified emergency creates the need to allow for such housing. A permit may be issued only when the emergency creating the need is an act of nature, a technological failure or malfunction, a terrorist incident, a public health emergency, an industrial accident, a hazardous material accident, or destruction caused by a civil disturbance.

(c) When the director of regulatory services issues a permit to allow for temporary housing, the director shall provide that the permit will expire after a specific period of time, not to exceed six (6) months. The director shall attempt to set the expiration date to coincide with the elimination of the need for temporary housing. The director may grant one (1) six-month extension of this permit.

(d) The director of regulatory services may set conditions on the use of the permit to mitigate the negative impacts of the permit. These conditions may include compliance with applicable statutes, ordinances and/or rules, including but not limited to the Minneapolis Fire Code, Minneapolis Health Code, Minneapolis Building Code, Minneapolis Housing Maintenance Code, and the Minneapolis Zoning Code. In addition, the director may impose any additional appropriate conditions to the use of the temporary housing permit.

(e) The director of regulatory services may revoke the permit if the need for such temporary housing ends, or if the permit holder fails to comply with the conditions set by the director as to the use of the temporary housing permit.

~~(f) Reports on removals involving individuals experiencing homelessness.~~

~~(1) Definitions. For the purpose of this section, the following definitions shall have the following meaning:~~

~~a. Affected individual. Any individual perceived as experiencing homelessness who is temporarily or permanently removed from an encampment or whose personal property has been cleared at an encampment.~~

~~b. Departmental removal. A removal by or on behalf of any city department where a departmental representative was present.~~

~~c. Encampment. A grouping of tents, tent-like structures, or temporary structures that are located within Minneapolis, which appear to be occupied by individuals for the purposes of residing therein.~~

~~d. Removal. An action or directive by or on behalf of any city department that results in an individual perceived as experiencing homelessness temporarily or permanently leaving a location or the clearing by or on behalf of any city department of personal property, which may include a sleeping bag, shelter, tarp, tent, bed, cardboard, metal sheeting, furniture, or other objects at a location.~~

~~e. Robot. An artificial object or system that senses, processes, and acts, to at least some degree, and is operated either autonomously by computers or by an individual remotely. The term does not include unmanned aerial vehicles.~~

~~f. Shelter. A building or individual units within a building, used by the department or by a person under contract or similar agreement with the department to provide temporary emergency housing.~~

~~g. Non-shelter housing options. Placement in a type of housing, stabilization bed, or safe haven.~~

~~(2) No later than one (1) month after the effective date of this section, and quarterly thereafter, city staff shall submit to the city council and the mayor, and publish on the department's website in a format accessible pursuant to the City's language access plan, a report on removals conducted during the prior reporting period. The report shall include a table in which each row references a unique occurrence of a removal. Each such row shall include the following information set forth in separate columns:~~

~~a. A unique identification number for each removal.~~

~~b. The council ward where the removal occurred.~~

~~c. Whether or not a removal has previously been conducted at that location and within a one (1) mile radius of the location within the last year.~~

~~d. The duration of an encampment at that location.~~

~~e. The source of initiation for the removal, including but not limited to requests by a city department, office, organization, or 311 or 911 report.~~

~~f. The date and time of the start and end of the removal.~~

~~g. The number of service providers contacted by city department staff and number of service providers servicing residents for the duration that the encampment existed.~~

~~h. The number of service providers contacted by city department staff and number of service providers present at the time of the encampment clearing.~~

~~i. The number of departmental personnel present and total hours spent on the removal by personnel, disaggregated by department.~~

~~j. The maximum and minimum number of affected individuals throughout the duration of the encampment and at the encampment at the start of removal.~~

~~k. The cost of removals, including, but not limited to, the costs associated with the following information:~~

~~1. The number of departmental staff present during and before a removal, disaggregated by the department.~~

~~2. The total time departmental staff was present before and during a removal.~~

~~3. Any overtime hours used to conduct a removal, including a methodology on how overtime hours were calculated.~~

~~4. Any additional costs such as the use of any specialized equipment during a removal, including unmanned aerial vehicles, robots, and surveillance cameras.~~

~~5. Any additional costs associated with site security after a removal including fencing, rip rap, rubble dumping, remediation and/or other measures.~~

~~6. Any cost and/or associated cost of, any service providers under contract with the city to service an encampment or be present at time of clearing.~~

~~l. Details of belongings that were seized and locations to which they were sent or if they were discarded.~~

~~m. A clear timeline of the encampment removal.~~

~~1. The date and time when affected individuals were made aware of the encampment removal.~~

~~2. The date and time when city department staff were made aware of the encampment removal.~~

~~3. The date and time when non-profit service providers were notified of the encampment removal. If service providers were notified, include details on which service providers were notified and which ones were present at the time of the encampment removal.~~

~~4. The date and time when contact was made with community organizations regarding the encampment removal.~~

~~n. The number of times the Homeless Response Team:~~

~~1. Visited the encampment prior to closure and the purpose of the visit.~~

~~2. Engaged directly with affected individuals prior to the encampment closure.~~

~~(3) No later than one (1) month after the effective date of this section, and quarterly thereafter, city staff shall submit to the city council and the mayor, and publish on the department's website in a format accessible pursuant to the City's language access plan, a report on removals conducted during the prior reporting period. The report shall include a table in which each row references a unique occurrence of a removal. Each such row shall include the following information set forth in separate columns:~~

~~a. A reference to the unique removal identification number as reported in subsection (2) of this section.~~

~~b. The council ward where the removal occurred.~~

- ~~c. The number of affected individuals on the date of the removal.~~
  - ~~d. The number of available shelter beds at the time of removal and whether an affected individual could book a shelter bed at the time of the closure.~~
  - ~~e. The number of affected individuals who were offered shelter on the date of removal.~~
  - ~~f. The number of affected individuals who accepted shelter on the date of the removal.~~
  - ~~g. The number of affected individuals who were offered direct permanent housing placements on the date of the removal.~~
  - ~~h. The number of affected individuals who were offered supportive housing on the date of the removal.~~
  - ~~i. The number of affected individuals who were assessed for housing throughout the duration of the encampment.~~
  - ~~j. The number of affected individuals who are in the process of receiving housing placement throughout the duration of the encampment.~~
  - ~~k. The number of affected individuals who were offered placement in non-shelter housing options on the date of the removal.~~
  - ~~l. The number of affected individuals who entered non-shelter housing options on the date of the removal.~~
  - ~~m. The number of affected individuals who entered treatment and/or detox throughout the duration of the encampment.~~
  - ~~n. The number of affected individuals who were arrested on the date of the removal.~~
  - ~~o. A detailed account of 311 and 911 calls related to encampments, disaggregated by incident code and problem nature code on unique incidents reported, including but not limited to the following information:
    - ~~1. The types of incidents reported, including but not limited to gun violence, homicide, assault, nuisance and other relevant categories.~~
    - ~~2. The location of the encampment that is related to each 311 and 911 call.~~~~
  - ~~p. The status of police investigations related to removals, including the following information:
    - ~~1. Whether the Minneapolis Police Department (MPD) followed up on each reported incident.~~
    - ~~2. The current status of each investigation, including any resolutions or ongoing investigations.~~~~
- ~~(4) The reports required by this section shall include a data dictionary.~~
- ~~(5) Except as otherwise expressly provided in this section, no report required by this section shall contain personally identifiable information.~~

~~(6) No information that is otherwise required to be reported pursuant to this section shall be reported in a manner that would violate any applicable provision of federal, state, or local law relating to the data privacy and classification of information, including the provisions of the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act.~~

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

Due to a drafting error, this ordinance was presented to Mayor Jacob Frey on 5/11/2026 and approved on 5/14/2026.

(Published 5/16/2026)

#### **COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0296**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby authorizes the submittal of a grant application to the United States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, with Hennepin County acting as fiscal agent, in the amount of \$307,220, over 48 months, for Police and Office of City Attorney initiatives, in accordance with Resolution 2025R-112 related to federally funded grants.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

#### **COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0297**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby authorizes the submittal of a grant application to the Federal Office of National Drug Control Policy, in the amount of \$42,500, from January 1, 2026, to December 31, 2026, for supplies for the High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program, in accordance with Resolution 2025R-112 related to federally funded grants.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0298**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby accepts a one time grant award from the Minnesota School-Based Health Center Grant, in the total amount of \$150,000, for the period of April 1, 2026, to June 30, 2027, to support the health education program and clinical services in the School Based Clinics.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

State of Minnesota

County of Hennepin }ss.

City of Minneapolis

I, Casey Joe Carl, City Clerk of the City of Minneapolis, in the County of Hennepin, and State of Minnesota, hereby certify that the foregoing Council Action 2026A-0298 was duly presented to Mayor Jacob Frey on April 23, 2026, and was returned without his signature.

Therefore, in accordance with the provisions of Article IV, Section 4.4(c) of the City Charter, the action has become and is valid, and I hereby certify that it has the same force and effect as if approved by said Mayor. (Published 4/25/2026)

On behalf of the Public Health, Safety & Equity Committee, Chavez offered Resolution 2026R-152 accepting donation from the National Association of Food and Drug Officials (AFDO) for Gebi Kurkura, Environmental Health Specialist II, to attend and present at the AFDO Annual Educational Conference in Columbus, Ohio, from June 6-9, 2026.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-152**

**By Chavez**

**Accepting donation from the National Association of Food and Drug Officials (AFDO) for Gebi Kurkura, Environmental Health Specialist II, to attend and present at the AFDO Annual Educational Conference in Columbus, Ohio, from June 6-9, 2026.**

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis is generally authorized to accept donations of real and personal property pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Section 465.03 et seq. for the benefit of its citizens and is specifically authorized to accept gifts; and

Whereas, the following persons and entities have offered to contribute the gifts set forth below to the city:

Name of Donor – National Association of Food and Drug Officials (AFDO).

Gift – Transportation and lodging.

Restrictions on use - For Gebi Kurkura, Environmental Health Specialist II, to attend and present at the AFDO Annual Educational Conference in Columbus, Ohio, from June 6-9, 2026.

Whereas, no goods or services were provided in exchange for said donation; and

Whereas, all such donations have been contributed to assist the city in sending Gebi Kurkura, Environmental Health Specialist II, to the AFDO Annual Educational Conference in Columbus, Ohio, from June 6-9, 2026, as allowed by law; and

Whereas, the City Council finds that it is appropriate to accept the donation offered;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the donations described above are hereby accepted and shall be used for Gebi Kurkura, Environmental Health Specialist II, to attend and present at the AFDO Annual Educational Conference in Columbus, Ohio, from June 6-9, 2026.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

#### **COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0299**

Pursuant to City Charter Section 7.1(h)(2), the Minneapolis City Council, in support of its official legislative, policymaking, and oversight functions, does hereby request from the Mayor the following information and data concerning the financial health of all recognized neighborhood organizations within the City of Minneapolis.

Information concerning the financial condition and sustainability of all recognized neighborhood organizations, including but not limited to:

1. Current and historical revenue sources, including Neighborhoods 2020 funding, Community Participation Program (CPP) funding, and other City contracts
2. Remaining Neighborhood Revitalization Program (NRP) balances, including restricted and unrestricted funds
3. Annual operating expenditures, administrative costs, and financial obligations
4. A 3-5 year look back at funding showing if and by how much neighborhood associations have already scaled back their annual spending/operations due to decreased funding
5. Information on gaps between annual expense and revenue
6. Data in the City's possession that shows the monthly expenditures and cash balance of each organization for the last 36 months

The requested information and data shall be reported to City Council, through the Public Health, Safety & Equity Committee by August 13, 2026, and any supporting materials will be made available through the City's Legislative Information Management System (LIMS).

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0300**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby approves the reinstatement of the Rental Dwelling Licenses for the properties at 2301, 2318, 2339 Cole Ave SE, formerly owned by Frank Zaragoza, to be held by new owner Seth Bork, based on verification that the properties are now in compliance with rental licensing standards.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0301**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby directs the Legislative Research & Oversight Division to undertake research and analysis to:

1. Provide the Council with a better understanding of national law enforcement practices and policies related to public gathering response.
2. Identify specific efforts or trends related to public information sharing and transparency initiatives (ex. Incident updates, information portals etc.).

The requested analyses, data, and findings shall be reported to City Council, through the Public Health, Safety & Equity Committee, upon completion, and any supporting materials will be made available through the City's website.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

(Pursuant to City Charter, Article IV, §4.4, this act was not presented to the Mayor)

**COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0302**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby directs the Legislative Research & Oversight Division to undertake research and analysis to:

1. Provide a landscape analysis of municipal regulations around homeless encampment sweeps and encampment prevention.
2. Better understand potential benefits and costs of different approaches to encampment regulation and identification of notable trends, common practices, or innovative strategies for consideration.
3. Highlight potential trends, common practices, or innovative programs for consideration by the City Council.

The requested analyses, data, and findings shall be reported to City Council, through the Public Health, Safety & Equity Committee, upon completion, and any supporting materials will be made available through the City's website.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

(Pursuant to City Charter, Article IV, §4.4, this act was not presented to the Mayor)

### **COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0303**

The Minneapolis City Council hereby amends Council Action No. 2026A-0210, passed March 26, 2026, by changing the committee and date for presentation of the requested information and adding additional requests for information regarding overtime and standby spending and deployment.

Pursuant to City Charter Section 7.1(h)(2), the Minneapolis City Council, in support of its official legislative, policymaking, and oversight functions, does hereby request from the Mayor the following information and data regarding the Minneapolis Police Department (MPD):

1. Department-wide, what is the average cost of an hour of overtime, an hour of 0.5, and an hour of 0.25 standby pay?
2. What was the total amount spent on overtime, 0.25 and 0.5 standby pay per week during Operation Metro Surge (OMS)? Please specify for each and please provide per precinct or per department.
3. What was the total amount spent on overtime, 0.25 and 0.5 standby pay per week in the 6 weeks preceding Renee Good's murder? Please specify for each.
4. How many hours of overtime, 0.5, and 0.25 standby pay were logged each week during OMS, respectively? Please specify for each and please provide per precinct or per department.
5. How many hours of overtime, 0.5, and 0.25 standby pay were logged each week during the 6 weeks preceding Renee Good's murder? Please specify for each.
6. Please provide a breakdown of how many hours of overtime and standby pay that each MPD employee worked during OMS. This data may be redacted to preserve anonymity. Alternatively, this data may be organized as a histogram, with one histogram for standby and one for overtime, showing the total number of employees working 0-5 hours, 5-10 hours, 10-15 hours, etc. up to the maximum worked.
7. On how many occasions were MPD officers on standby called in? Please specify whether the number provided refers to the number of individual officers called in or the number of instances in which some number of officers were called in? If the latter, how many officers were called in for each instance?
8. Please share the total number of days off canceled and shifts extended for the 10 months preceding the escalation of OMS in early January.
9. Please share the overtime and standby hours and costs since February 8, 2026.
10. Please provide an additional histogram chart that includes all hours worked during this time.

The requested information and data shall be ~~reported~~ presented to the City Council through the ~~Public Health, Safety, and Equity Budget~~ Committee, ~~upon completion at the April 15, 2026 meeting, at the May 4, 2026 meeting~~ and any supporting materials be made available through the City's website.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

**NOTICE OF ORDINANCE INTRODUCTIONS**

Payne, Wonsley, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, and Chowdhury gave notice of intent to introduce at the next regular meeting of the City Council the subject matter of an ordinance amending Title 11, Chapter 227 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Health and Sanitation: Nuisances Generally, addressing gaps in the Health Department’s authority to act to reduce the spread of infectious diseases.

**INTRODUCTION & REFERRAL CALENDAR**

Vetaw and Palmisano moved to amend the prior introduction of the subject matter of an ordinance amending Title 20, Chapter 550 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Zoning Code: Development Standards, given at the regular meeting on February 19, 2026, by updating the description to read “amending fence regulations”; and refer the matter to the Business, Housing & Zoning Committee.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

Shaffer moved to return to author consideration of the subject matter of an ordinance amending Title 21 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Interim Ordinances, adding a new Chapter 597 providing for a moratorium on the establishment, re-establishment, or expansion of data center uses.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Shaffer, Palmisano (5)

Noes: Payne, Wonsley, Osman, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury (8)

Absent: (0)

Failed.

Chowdhury and Chavez moved to postpone to May 21, 2026, consideration of the introduction and referral of the subject matter of an ordinance amending Title 21 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Interim Ordinances, adding a new Chapter 597 providing for a moratorium on the establishment, re-establishment, or expansion of data center uses.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Osman, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury (8)

Noes: Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Shaffer, Palmisano (5)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

Pursuant to notice, Whiting moved to introduce an ordinance amending Title 2, Chapters 10 and 15 of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances relating to Administration: Boards, Commissions and Advisory Bodies and Ethics in Government, for first reading and referral to the Enterprise & Labor Relations Committee, amending the requirements for completion of ethics education.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

### **RESOLUTIONS**

Osman moved to adopt Resolution 2026R-153 recognizing Administrative Professionals Day in the City of Minneapolis.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

#### **RESOLUTION 2026R-153**

**By Vetaw, Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Warren, Osman, Shaffer,  
Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, and Palmisano**

#### **Recognizing Administrative Professionals Day in the City of Minneapolis.**

Whereas, administrative professionals including program customer service representatives, program assistants, aides to the director, and other administrative support staff represent one of the largest segments of the world's workforce; and

Whereas, administrative professionals are vital contributors in today's team-oriented work environment and are key front-line public relations ambassadors for the City; and

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis recognizes the invaluable contributions of administrative professionals who play a key role in ensuring the efficient operation of our government, businesses, and communities; and

Whereas, administrative professionals in Minneapolis demonstrate dedication, skill, and excellence every day by processing invoices, permits, licenses, assessments, and perform other critical functions in their support of leaders, staff, and the public, often going above and beyond to ensure smooth operations in all areas of city life; and

Whereas, the work of administrative professionals enhances communication, organization, and productivity, making Minneapolis a better place to live, work, and thrive; and

Whereas, administrative professionals play a vital role in leveraging technology to keep city operations efficient, effective, and connected; and

Whereas, they coordinate technology resources, provide referrals, and deliver training, helping colleagues navigate tools and systems with patience and expertise; and

Whereas, their work ensures that city systems are used accurately and consistently, supporting informed decision-making; and

Whereas, they enable seamless collaboration across departments, strengthening the overall functioning and service delivery of city government; and

Whereas, administrative professionals are the driving force behind the success of our entire enterprise, ensuring efficiency, organization, and continuity in all operations; and

Whereas, Administrative Professionals Day offers a special opportunity to recognize and appreciate the vital work that administrative professionals do to support the City of Minneapolis and its residents; and

Whereas, the City of Minneapolis is committed to fostering an environment of respect, appreciation, and recognition for the contributions of all employees, including those in administrative support roles;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the Mayor and City Council do hereby recognize April 23 as Administrative Professionals Day in the City of Minneapolis and honor the dedication and exceptional service of all administrative professionals in Minneapolis who are an integral part of our continued success and growth.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

Osman moved to adopt Resolution 2026R-154 recognizing International Workers Day.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-154**

**By Chavez, Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman,  
Shaffer, Stevenson, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, and Palmisano**

**Recognizing International Workers Day.**

Whereas, around the world May 1 is celebrated as International Workers Day, and in over 60 countries it is a holiday to recognize struggles for workers' rights; and

Whereas, May 1 was first celebrated in the United States in 1886 as part of the struggle for the eight-hour workday, and hundreds of thousands of workers across the country went on strike to demand better working conditions and shorter hours; and

Whereas, many of the workers who struggled for the eight-hour workday in 1886 were immigrant and Black workers among others who had been previously excluded from the actions around labor and faced repression, discrimination, and violence; and

Whereas, May 1 celebrations returned to Minneapolis in 2006 because of the dedicated work done by the immigrant rights movement to counter discrimination and human rights violations against immigrants at the national level and strengthen relationship between organized labor and immigrant workers; and

Whereas, the Minneapolis City Council passed resolutions in 2007 and 2009 recognizing the growing immigrant and workers’ rights rallies in Minneapolis on May 1, which have continued annually through the present year; and

Whereas, Minneapolis has a long history of immigrant and workers’ rights advocates coming together in the spirit of solidarity; and

Whereas, immigrants, workers, and their families are an essential and valued component of our Minneapolis community; and

Whereas, Mayday 2026 will be a de-centralized and community-organized parade, ceremony, and festival held on May 3 in Powderhorn Park;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the Mayor and City Council do hereby recognize May 1, 2026, as International Workers Day in recognition of immigrant and workers’ rights.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

Chowdhury moved to adopt Resolution 2026R-155 recognizing Allen Hoppe for his decades of service and dedication to the City of Minneapolis.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-155**

**By Chughtai, Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman,  
Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Whiting, Chowdhury, and Palmisano**

**Recognizing Allen Hoppe for his decades of service and dedication to the City of Minneapolis.**

Whereas, Mr. Allen Hoppe, a four-year US Marine veteran, commenced employment with the City of Minneapolis on November 4, 2019, starting with eighteen months as Senior Advisor to the Board of Estimate and Taxation and then completing five years as the Director—Banking, Investments and Debt (BID) under the direction of the Chief Financial Officer; and

Whereas, Mr. Hoppe brought to the City four decades of strong experience, specialized training, and rewarding insights in public finance and treasury services covering cash flow management, banking, merchant cards, investments, debt, and team leadership; and

Whereas, Mr. Hoppe moved the City’s banking management into the investments and debt division, thereby creating valuable synergies and a richer environment for existing and future division staff to deliver more coordinated financial institution services throughout the Enterprise; and

Whereas, Mr. Hoppe evaluated the team roles within the division by modernizing the position descriptions, enhancing the responsibilities that led to increased staff engagement and morale and zero turnover; and

Whereas, Mr. Hoppe guided the division in \$2 billion of annual cash flow, administered \$1 billion of outstanding debt, overseen the issuance of over \$100 million of bonds per year and, overseen the merchant card program which facilitated the Enterprise-wide collection of \$100 million of revenue per year; and

Whereas, Mr. Hoppe led the division in reviewing and purging 100 years of records for debt, banking and investments, including the filling of 80 barrels with recyclable material and trash; and

Whereas, Mr. Hoppe participated in Enterprise endeavors including assisting with various staff recruiting and hiring, acquisition of an ERP system and a supporting consulting firm, acquisition of a payment platform, multiple other procurement initiatives to keep the city competitive, and emergency operations training at the Maryland FEMA training center; and

Whereas, Mr. Hoppe is greatly appreciated for his deep analytical insights, principled approach to business planning and execution, and his challenging questions; and

Whereas, he will be deeply missed as a leader, colleague, and friend by the Finance and Property Services department, City elected officials, department heads and staff;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the Mayor and City Council do hereby present this Honorary Resolution to Allen Hoppe in recognition of their sincere thanks and well-wishes upon this occasion of his retirement.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

Chowdhury moved to adopt Resolution 2026R-156 honoring James Novak for his Service and Dedication to the City of Minneapolis.

The following is the complete text of the unpublished summarized resolution.

**RESOLUTION 2026R-156**

**By Palmisano, Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren,  
Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, and Chowdhury**

**Honoring James Novak for his service and dedication to the City of Minneapolis.**

Whereas, Inspector James Novak served the City of Minneapolis and its residents for over 34 years in the Minneapolis Police Department; and

Whereas, Inspector James Novak honorably served our nation in the United States Army, achieving the rank of Sergeant; and

Whereas, Inspector Novak has demonstrated a strong commitment to professional development, earning Bachelor's and Master's degrees in Organizational Management and Communication; and

Whereas, in his time serving the Minneapolis Police Department, Inspector Novak was awarded two Medals of Valor, six Medals of Commendation, three Department Award of Merits, and many other officer, unit, and special division awards; and

Whereas, as a Police Officer, Novak served with distinction across multiple assignments, including patrol duties in the 3rd, 4th, and 5th Precincts, was a Field Training Officer and an investigator in the Public Housing Unit; and

Whereas, as a Sergeant, Novak continued his exemplary service through assignments in the 4th Precinct across all three shifts, as a Property Crimes Investigator, as Director of the Police Athletic League mentoring and developing youth, as a Free speech Liaison for the Republican National Convention, as a Crisis Negotiator on the Native American Law Enforcement Summit planning committee, and as a Mentor in the sergeant mentorship program; and

Whereas, as a Lieutenant, Novak excelled in leadership roles in the 1st Precinct, overseeing night shifts, foot patrol operations, and the Juvenile Investigations Division; and

Whereas, as a Commander, Novak demonstrated exceptional leadership while overseeing both the Violent Crimes Investigative Division and the Special Crimes Investigations Division, managed the hiring of several civilian investigators to supplement the work of our depleted sworn investigators, and was the head of MPD's U-Visa program; and

Whereas, as an Inspector, Novak provided strong leadership over the 5th Precinct and was instrumental in restoring safety and stability to the Uptown neighborhood; and

Whereas, Inspector Novak played a critical role in rebuilding the Minneapolis Police Department following the civil unrest of May 2020, contributed to community accountability and engagement through service as a panel member of the Police Conduct Review Panel, served as a mentor to many officers, always brought positivity and motivation, consistently upheld the department's mission, and prioritized officer wellness; and

Whereas, Inspector Novak, affectionately known as "Snacks" to his fellow officers, always knew the good places to eat, is well-loved and respected by his colleagues, and has been a stalwart supporter of their health, commitment, and development; and

Whereas, Inspector Novak values family, recognizes the sacrifices that the families of officers make, and always supported their participation in community and forward-facing events; and

Whereas, above all else, Inspector James Novak loves his wife Amy, his three children, and two grandchildren; and

Whereas, he looks forward to spending more time with his family in retirement, being able to relax, and maybe even travel to catch a few college baseball games;

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by The City Council of The City of Minneapolis:

That the Mayor and City Council do hereby commend Inspector James Novak for his exceptional service and steadfast dedication to the City of Minneapolis. We express our profound gratitude and admiration for his many accomplishments and significant impact on the Minneapolis Police Department. The City extends its heartfelt thanks and best wishes for continued success and fulfillment in all his future endeavors.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (13)

Noes: (0)

Absent: (0)

Adopted.

#### **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

Mayor Frey returning with his veto of Council Action 2026A-0236 denying consent to the Mayor's nomination of Toddrick Barnette to the appointed position of Commissioner of Community Safety for a term ending January 2030, adopted by the Council April 9, 2026, and stating his objections thereto, as further set forth in Legislative File 2026-00261 on file in the Office of City Clerk.

#### **COUNCIL ACTION 2026A-0236**

The City of Minneapolis hereby denies consent to the Mayor's nomination of Toddrick Barnette to the appointed position of Commissioner of Community Safety for a term ending January 2030.

The question before the Council being, "Shall the action pass notwithstanding the objections of the Mayor?", on roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Osman, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Chowdhury (7)

Noes: Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Shaffer, Whiting, Palmisano (6)

Absent: (0)

The vote being less than the two-thirds majority required by the City Charter to enact the action over the objections of the Mayor, said veto was sustained.

(See Unfinished Business at the May 7, 2026, meeting, for further consideration of the matter.)

Palmisano moved to remove from the legislative record a memo from Council President Payne dated April 15, 2026, related to the appointment of Toddrick Barnette as Commissioner of Community Safety, and as further set forth in Legislative File 2026-00261 on file in the Office of City Clerk.

Warren moved the previous question.

On roll call, the result was:

Ayes: Payne, Wonsley, Vetaw, Warren, Osman, Shaffer, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Whiting, Chowdhury, Palmisano (12)

Noes: Rainville (1)

Absent: (0)

On roll call, the result of Palmisano's main motion was:

Ayes: Rainville, Vetaw, Warren, Shaffer, Whiting, Palmisano (6)

Noes: Payne, Wonsley, Osman, Stevenson, Chavez, Chughtai, Chowdhury (7)

Absent: (0)

Failed.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 1:50 p.m.

Casey Joe Carl,  
City Clerk